

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
95/001,789	10/18/2011	7921211	41484-80150	6053
23630 7590 09/26/2012 McDermott Will & Emery 600 13th Street, NW Workington DC 20005 2006			EXAMINER	
			FOSTER, ROLAND G	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.





Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX 75201

Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester Inter Partes Reexamination

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NUMBER <u>95/001,789</u>.

PATENT NUMBER <u>7921211</u>.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3900.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified reexamination proceeding. 37 CFR 1.903.

Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each time the patent owner responds to this communication, the third party requester of the *inter partes* reexamination may once file written comments within a period of 30 days from the date of service of the patent owner's response. This 30-day time period is statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such, it <u>cannot</u> be extended. See also 37 CFR 1.947.

If an ex parte reexamination has been merged with the inter partes reexamination, no responsive submission by any ex parte third party requester is permitted.

All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of the communication enclosed with this transmittal.



	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination				
ACTION OF ORING BROSECUTION						
ACTION CLOSING PROSECUTION	95/001,789 Examiner	7921211 Art Unit				
(37 CFR 1.949)						
	ROLAND FOSTER	3992				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Responsive to the communication(s) filed by: Patent Owner on 18 April, 2012 Third Party(ies) on 06 August, 2012						
Patent owner may once file a submission under 37 CFR 1.951(a) within 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this Office action. Where a submission is filed, third party requester may file responsive comments under 37 CFR 1.951(b) within 30-days (not extendable- 35 U.S.C. § 314(b)(2)) from the date of service of the initial submission on the requester. Appeal cannot be taken from this action. Appeal can only be taken from a Right of Appeal Notice under 37 CFR 1.953.						
All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of this Office action.						
PART I. THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:						
 Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Citation, PTO/SB/08 ☑ 						
PART II. SUMMARY OF ACTION:						
1a. ⊠ Claims <u>1-60</u> are subject to reexamination.						
1b. Claims are not subject to reexamination.						
2. Claims have been canceled.						
3. Claims are confirmed. [Unamended patent claims]						
4. Claims are patentable. [Amended or new claims]						
5. 🔀 Claims <u>1-60</u> are rejected.						
6. Claims are objected to.						
7. The drawings filed on are acceptable are not acceptable.						
8						
9 Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d). The certified copy has:						
10. Other						



ACTION CLOSING PROSECUTION

1. Introduction

This Office action addresses claims 1-60 of United States Patent No. 7,921,211 B2 (the "Larson" patent), for reexamination was granted in the Order Granting *Inter Partes*Reexamination (hereafter the "Order"), mailed January 18, 2012.

A non-final Office action accompanying said Order was also mailed January 18, 2012 rejecting all original claims 1-60 of the Larson patent (the "non-final Office action").

The patent owner responded by filing arguments and associated evidence on April 18, 2012 (the "Response").

The third party requester responded by filing Comments to the Patent Owner's Response on August 6, 2012 (the "Comments"). In the Comments, the third party requester responded to the patent owner's arguments.

The examiner has carefully considered the arguments and evidence provided in both the patent owner's Response and in the third party requester's Comments. Based on consideration of the entire record, the third party requester's arguments and evidence are deemed more persuasive. See the "Response to Arguments" section for further explanation. All prior rejections are



Application/Control Number: 95/001,789 Page 3

Art Unit: 3992

maintained. Accordingly, this Office action is made an "Action Closing Prosecution." See 37

CFR 1.949, MPEP 2671.02. See also the "conclusion" section to this Office action.

2. Prior Art Rejections

2.A. Issues Raised in the Request

A total of ten references, in certain combinations, have been asserted in the Request as providing teachings relevant to the claims of the Larson patent.

Solana, E. et al., "Flexible Internet Secure Transactions Based on Collaborative Domains," Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1361, at 37-51 (1997), attached to the Request as Exhibit X1 ("Solana").

U.S. Patent No. 6,557,037 to Provino, attached to the Request as Exhibit X2 ("Provino").

U.S. Patent No. 6,496,867 to Beser, attached to the Request as Exhibit X3 ("Beser").

Atkinson, R., IETF RFC 2230, "Key Exchange Delegation Record for the DNS," November 1997, attached to the Request as Exhibit X4 ("RFC 2230").

Eastlake, D. et al., IETF RFC 2538, "Storing Certificates in the Domain Name System (DNS)," March 1999, attached to the Request as Exhibit X5 ("RFC 2538").

Kent, S. et al., IETF RFC 2401, "Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol," November 1998, attached to the Request as Exhibit X6 ("RFC 2401").

Eastlake, D. et al., IETF RFC 2064, "Domain Name System Security Extensions," January 1997, attached to the Request as Exhibit X7 ("RFC 2065").

Postel, J. et al., IETF RFC 920, "Domain Requirements," October 1984, attached to the Request as Exhibit X8 ("RFC 920").

Guttman, E. et al., IETF RFC 2504, "Users' Security Handbook," February 1999, attached to the Request as Exhibit X9 ("RFC 2504").



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

