	ed States Patent	TAND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.aspto.gov	FOR PATENTS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
95/001,851	12/13/2011	7418504	43614.101	1688	
	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER FOSTER, ROLAND G	
901 NEW YOF	DRK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3992	TAFER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2013	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Transmittal of Communication to	Control No.	Patent Under Reexaminatio	
Third Party Requester	95/001,851	7418504	
Inter Partes Reexamination	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROLAND FOSTER	3992	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE A	ADDRESS)		
	, I		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP, IP SECTION 2323 Victory Ave., Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75219			
Enclosed is a copy of the latest communicatic n the above-identified reexamination prceeding		tes Patent and Trademark Offic	
Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each t the third party requester of the <i>inter partes</i> ree period of 30 days from the date of service of t statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such,	examination may once he patent owner's resp	file written comments within a conse. This 30-day time period	
f an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination has been merged submission by any <i>ex parte</i> third party reques		reexamination, no responsive	
All correspondence relating to this inter part Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FA) communication enclosed with this transmittal.	K, or hand-carry addre		

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination
Right of Appeal Notice	95/001,851	7418504
(37 CFR 1.953)	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROLAND FOSTER	3992
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Responsive to the communication(s) filed by: Patent Owner on <u>02 January, 2013</u> Fhird Party(ies) on <u>30 January, 2013</u>		
Patent owner and/or third party requester(s) m vith payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 41 <b>onger)</b> . See MPEP 2671. In addition, a party 11.20(b)(1) fee <b>within fourteen days of servi</b> MPEP 2672.	.20(b)(1) within <b>one-month</b> may file a notice of <b>cross</b> a	or thirty-days (whichever is ppeal and pay the 37 CFR
All correspondence relating to this inter parte Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand		
f no party timely files a notice of appeal, prose concluded, and the Director of the USPTO will accordance with this Office action.		
The proposed amendment filed	will be entered wi	Il not be entered*
Reasons for non-entry are given in the body o	of this notice.	
a. 🔀 Claims <u>1-60</u> are subject to reexaminatio	on.	
b. Claims are not subject to reexam	nination.	
2. 🗌 Claims have been cancelled.		
3. 🔲 Claims are confirmed. [Unamend	ded patent claims].	
I. $\square$ Claims <u>11</u> are patentable. [Amended or	new claims].	
5. $\boxtimes$ Claims $\frac{1}{1-10}$ and $12-60$ are rejected.	-	
6. Claims are objected to.		
7. $\Box$ The drawings filed on $\Box$ are ac	cceptable. 🗌 are not acc	eptable.
3. The drawing correction request filed on	•	-
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of the claim f</li> </ol>		
has: been received. Inot been re- 0. Other	ceived. 🗌 been filed in	Application/Control No
Attachments		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited by Examine</li> </ol>	r PTO-892	
2. Information Disclosure Citation, PTO/SI		
3		

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Application/Control Number: 95/001,851 Art Unit: 3992

#### <u>1.</u> <u>Introduction</u>

DOCKE

This Office action addresses claims 1-60 of United States Patent No. 7,418,504 B2 (the "Larson" patent), for which reexamination was granted in the Order Granting *Inter Partes* Reexamination (hereafter the "Order"), mailed March 1, 2012, in response to a Request for Inter Partes Reexamination, filed December 13, 2011 (the "Request").

An Action Closing Prosecution ("ACP") mailed October 1, 2012 rejected original claims 1-10 and 12-16 of the Larson patent. Original claim 11 was found patentable.

The patent owner responded by filing arguments and associated evidence on January 2, 2013 (the "Response").

The third party requester responded by filing Comments on the Patent Owner's Response on January 30, 2013 (the "Comments").

#### Evidence Submitted After the ACP

The patent owner submitted the Supplemental Declaration of Angelos D. Keromytis, Ph.D. on January 2, 2013 (the "Supplemental Declaration"), which was after the mailing date of said ACP. Evidence submitted after an action closing prosecution (§ 1.949) in an *inter partes* reexamination filed under § 1.913 but before or on the same date of filing an appeal (§ 41.31 or § 41.61 of this title), may be admitted upon a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. 37 CFR § 1.116(e). The

## Application/Control Number: 95/001,851 Art Unit: 3992

OCKE.

patent owner did not set forth a showing why the Supplemental Declaration was necessary and was not earlier presented. After an ACP in an *inter partes* reexamination, the patent owner may once file comments limited to the issues raised in the Office action closing prosecution. 37 CFR § 1.951(a). Thus, the patent owner may not file additional comments showing why the Supplemental Declaration should be entered. The Supplemental Declaration is not of record in this proceeding. The examiner however has briefly reviewed the Supplemental Declaration, but it does not persuade the examiner to withdraw any rejection.

#### Conclusion

The examiner has fully considered the arguments and evidence of record provided in both the patent owner's Response and in the third party requester's Comments. Based on consideration of the entire record, the third party requester's arguments and evidence are deemed more persuasive. *See* the "Response to Arguments" section for further explanation. All prior rejections are maintained. Accordingly, this Office action is made a Right of Appeal Notice, which is a final Office action. See MPEP § 2673.01, .02. *See also* the "conclusion" section to this Office action.

#### Submissions after the Action Closing Prosecution (ACP)

Said Response, Comments and Supplemental Declaration were submitted after the ACP. The Supplemental Declaration is not entered for the reasons discussed above. The Response and Comments have been entered.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.