

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00610
Patent 7,490,151 B2

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
STEPHEN C. SIU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT

Termination of the Proceedings
35 U.S.C. § 317
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.73, 42.74

On December 19, 2014, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and
37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the parties filed a copy of a settlement agreement (Ex.

2036) along with a joint request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential, to be separate from the patent file (Paper 15). In addition, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the proceeding pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317. Paper 14.

Patent Owner has not filed a Patent Owner Response. Issues raised during the trial have not been briefed fully, and the Board has not decided the merits of the proceeding. Based on the facts of this case, it is appropriate to enter judgment.¹ Therefore, the joint motion to terminate the proceeding is GRANTED.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, to be kept separate from the patent file, is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate the proceeding is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is TERMINATED.

¹ A judgment means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

IPR2014-00610
Patent 7,490,151 B2

For PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Kevin E. Greene
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf@fr.com
IPR38868-0007IP1@fr.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Joseph E. Palys
Naveen Modi
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
josephpalys@paulhastings.com
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

Jason E. Stach
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
jason.stach@finnegan.com