

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.,
TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, FUJITSU
SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR
AMERICA, INC., ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS
ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., GLOBAL FOUNDRIES U.S., INC.,
GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG,
GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG,
TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA
AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS,
INC., TOSHIBA CORPORATION, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY
Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00799
Patent 7,808,184 B2¹

Before JONI Y. CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Decision addresses the same issues in the *inter partes* reviews listed in the Appendix. Therefore, we issue one Decision to be filed in all of the cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style of filing in subsequent papers.

Patent Owner Zond, LLC (“Zond”) filed the following Motions in each of the proceedings identified in the Appendix: (1) a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Dr. Maria Granovsky (Paper 23²), supported by an Affidavit of Dr. Granovsky (Ex. 2006); and (2) a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Etai Lahav (Paper 24), supported by an Affidavit of Mr. Lahav (Ex. 2005). The Motions are unopposed.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. Paper 3, 2.

Upon review of Zond’s Motions and supporting evidence, we determine that Zond has demonstrated that Dr. Granovsky and Mr. Lahav each have sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Zond in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We also recognize that there is a need for Zond to have them be involved in the proceedings at issue. Accordingly, Zond has established that there is good cause for admitting Dr. Granovsky and Mr. Lahav.

² For the purpose of clarity and expediency, we treat IPR2014-00799 as representative, and all citations are to IPR2014-00799 unless otherwise noted.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Zond's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Dr. Maria Granovsky and Mr. Etai Lahav are *granted*; they are authorized to represent Zond as back-up counsel in the proceedings identified in the Appendix;

FURTHER ORDERED that Zond is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for those proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Dr. Granovsky and Mr. Lahav are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and they are to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

IPR2014-00799
Patent 7,808,184 B2

APPENDIX

U.S. Patent Numbers	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews
6,896,773 B2	IPR2014-00580 IPR2014-00726
6,896,775 B2	IPR2014-00578 IPR2014-00604
7,808,184 B2	IPR2014-00799 IPR2014-00803
8,125,155 B2	IPR2014-00477 IPR2014-00479

IPR2014-00799
Patent 7,808,184 B2

For PATENT OWNER:

Gregory J. Gonsalves
gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com

Bruce J. Barker
bbarker@chsblaw.com

For PETITIONERS:

TSMC and Fujitsu:

David M O'Dell
david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

David L. McCombs
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

Richard C. Kim
rckim@duanemorris.com

Anthony J. Fitzpatrick
AJFitzpatrick@duanemorris.com

Donald D. Jackson
Donald.jackson@haynesboone.com

Gregory P.Huh
Gregory.huh.ipr@haynesboone.com

GlobalFoundries:

David Tennant
dtennant@whitecase.com

Dohm Chankong
dohm.chankong@whitecase.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.