

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00269US1

Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122

Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000

Email: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

Zond, LLC, Patent Owner of
U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 to Roman Chistyakov

IPR Trial No. 2014-00598

**REQUEST FOR REFUND OF POST-INSTITUTION
FEE**

Intel Corporation ("Petitioner" or Intel") hereby files its request for a refund of the \$23,800 post-institution fee that was previously paid.

On April 9, 2014, Petitioner filed a petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 and paid the USPTO a total of \$23,800 at the time of filing this petition, which included a \$9,800 payment for the *inter partes* review request fee and a \$14,000 payment for the post-institution fee required by 37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)(1)-(2). The filed *inter partes* review petition was granted a filing date on April 14, 2014. (Paper No. 4). The parties subsequently reached a settlement and filed a joint motion to terminate the proceedings on September 12, 2014. On September 15, 2014, the Board entered a Judgment, Termination of the Proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73 (Paper No. 9) in which the Board granted the parties' joint motion to terminate the proceeding and thereby terminated the proceeding. The Board terminated the proceeding before institution of the *inter partes* review and the petition to institute the *inter partes* review was filed on April 9, 2014. The Petitioner is entitled to request a refund of the post-institution fee that was previously paid and is entitled to this refund. *See e.g.*, 78 FR 4233 (January 18, 2013) *available at* <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-01-18/pdf/2013-00819.pdf> (stating that "The entire post-institution fee would be returned to the petitioner if the Office does not institute a review."); Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) FAQ at E7 *available at* <http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/prps.jsp>.

For at least the reasons sets forth above, Intel hereby files its request for a refund of the post-institution fee that was previously paid and hereby requests a refund of the \$23,800 post-institution fee previously paid by Intel.

The refund may be deposited in Deposit Account No. 080219 under attorney reference number 0107131-00269US1.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 30, 2014

By: /Michael A. Diener/

Case No. IPR2013-00598

Michael A. Diener

Reg. No. 37,122

Yung-Hoon Ha

Reg. No. 56,368

**Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr
LLP**

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Tel: 617-526-6000

Fax: 617-526-5000

*Attorneys for Petitioner, Intel
Corporation.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER

The undersigned certifies that on the 30th day of October 2014 a complete and entire copy of this REQUEST FOR REFUND OF POST-INSTITUTION FEE was provided by email to the Patent Owner by serving counsel of record for the Patent Owner:

Dr. Gregory J. Gonsalves
Reg. No. 43,639
2216 Beacon Lane
Falls Church, Virginia 22043
(571) 419-7252
gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com

Bruce Barker
Reg. No. 33,291
Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker LLP
176 East Main Street, Suite 6
Westborough, MA 01581
(508) 366-3800
bbarker@chsblaw.com

By: /David L. Cavanaugh/
David L. Cavanaugh
Reg. No. 36,476
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: 202-663-6000
Fax: 202-663-6363