

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00269 US1
Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation
By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122
Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Tel: (617) 526-6000
Email: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com
Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

Patent Owner of
U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 to Roman Chistyakov

IPR Trial No. TBD

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,805,779
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-4, 10-15, 17, 18, 24-27 AND 29
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices.....	- 1 -
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	- 1 -
B.	Related Matters.....	- 1 -
C.	Counsel	- 1 -
D.	Service Information.....	- 1 -
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	- 2 -
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested.....	- 2 -
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	- 2 -
B.	Grounds for Challenge	- 3 -
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	- 4 -
A.	Plasma.....	- 4 -
B.	Ions, excited atoms, and metastable atoms	- 4 -
V.	Overview of the ‘779 Patent.....	- 6 -
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the ‘779 Patent	- 6 -
B.	Prosecution History	- 10 -
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	- 12 -
A.	Summary of the Prior Art.....	- 12 -
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	- 13 -
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	- 14 -
D.	Overview of Iwamura.....	- 15 -
E.	Overview of Pinsley and Angelbeck.....	- 16 -
VII.	Claim construction.....	- 17 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	- 18 -
A.	Ground I: Claims 1-4, 10-15, 17, 18, 24-27, and 29 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev and Pinsley	- 18 -
1.	Independent claim 1	- 18 -
2.	Independent claim 18	- 32 -

B. Ground II: Claims 1-4, 10-15, 17, 18, 24-27 and 29 would have been obvious in view of the combination of Iwamura and Angelbeck .. - 40 -

1. Independent claim 1 - 40 -

2. Independent claim 18 - 53 -

IX. Conclusion - 60 -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 17

FEDERAL STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 103 3
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 3

RULES

Rule 42.22(a)(1) 2
Rule 42.104(a) 2
Rule 42.104(b)(1)-(2) 2
Rule 42.104(b)(4)-(5) 18

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) 17
77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012) 18

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation (“Petitioner”) is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,805,779 (“‘779 Patent”) (Ex. 1001) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*).

Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related¹ to the ‘779 Patent.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Michael A. Diener (Registration No. 37,122)

Backup Counsel: Yung-Hoon Ha (Registration No. 56,368)

D. Service Information

E-mail: Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, LLP

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.