

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE GILLETTE COMPANY,
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.,
TSMC NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, FUJITSU
SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR
AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00578
Patent 6,896,775 B2¹

Before JONI Y. CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Decision addresses the same issues in the *inter partes* reviews listed in the Appendix. Therefore, we issue one Decision to be filed in all of the cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style of filing in subsequent papers.

Petitioner The Gillette Company (“Gillette”) filed the following Motions in each of the proceedings identified in the Appendix: (1) a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Mark Matuschak (Paper 22²), supported by a Declaration of Mr. Matuschak (Ex. 1026); (2) a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Cosmin Maier (Paper 23), supported by a Declaration of Mr. Maier (Ex. 1027). The motions are unopposed. Paper 22, 2; Paper 23, 2.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. Paper 4, 2.

Upon review of Gillette’s Motions and supporting evidence, we determine that Gillette has demonstrated that Messrs. Matuschak and Maier have sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Gillette in the proceedings identified in the Appendix. We also recognize that there is a need for Gillette to have them be involved in the proceedings at issue. Accordingly, Gillette has established that there is good cause for admitting Messrs. Matuschak and Maier.

² For the purpose of clarity and expediency, we treat IPR2014-00578 as representative, and all citations are to IPR2014-00578 unless otherwise noted.

IPR2014-00578
Patent 6,896,775 B2

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Gillette's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Messrs. Mark Matuschak and Cosmin Maier are *granted*; they are authorized to represent Gillette as back-up counsel in the proceedings identified in the Appendix, except in IPR2014-00799 and IPR2014-00803;

FURTHER ORDERED that Gillette is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for those proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Matuschak and Maier are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and they are to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

IPR2014-00578
Patent 6,896,775 B2

APPENDIX

U.S. Patent Numbers	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews
6,896,773 B2	IPR2014-00580 IPR2014-00726
6,896,775 B2	IPR2014-00578 IPR2014-00604
8,125,155 B2	IPR2014-00477 IPR2014-00479

IPR2014-00578
Patent 6,896,775 B2

For PATENT OWNER:

Gregory J. Gonsalves
gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com

Bruce J. Barker
bbarker@chsblaw.com

For PETITIONERS:

Gillette:

Michael A. Diener
michael.diener@wilmerhale.com

Larissa B. Park
larissa.park@wilmerhale.com

Andrej Barbic
andrej.barbic@wilmerhale.com

TSMC and Fujitsu:

David M O'Dell
david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

David L. McCombs
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

Richard C. Kim
rckim@duanemorris.com