UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC. Patent Owners.

Case IPR2014-00579 Patent 7,104,347

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. S	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	.1
II.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	.1
III.	BACKGROUND	.2
IV.	DISCUSSION	.5
	The Challenged Exhibit and the Challenged Testimony are untimely bmissions of new evidence.	.5
	Ford should not be allowed to remedy a deficient petition with additional idence and expert testimony.	
V.	CONCLUSION	13

EXHIBITS

Patent Owner Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
PAICE Ex. 2101	Arbitration Agreement between Paice LLC and Ford Motor Company
PAICE Ex. 2102	Declaration of Neil Hannemann
PAICE Ex. 2103	Neil Hannemann CV
PAICE Ex. 2104	Masding, Philip Wilson (1988) "Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles," Durham theses, Durham University.
PAICE Ex. 2105	Bosch Handbook, 4 th Edition (excerpts)
PAICE Ex. 2106	Gregory Davis Deposition Transcript (Jan. 13, 2015)
PAICE Ex. 2107	Davis, G. W., Hodges, G. L., and Madeka, F. C., "The Development and Performance of the AMPhibian Hybrid Electric Vehicle," SAE Technical Publication 940337, 1994.
PAICE Ex. 2108	Paice v. Ford, C.A. No. 1:14-cv-00492-WDQ, Complaint (Feb. 19, 2014)
PAICE Ex. 2109	Griffith Hack Report
PAICE Ex. 2110	Declaration in support of motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> of Peter Guarnieri
PAICE Ex. 2111	Transcript of Deposition of Gregory W. Davis, Ph.D.
PAICE Ex. 2112	Bumby, J.R. and I. Forster, "Optimisation and Control of a Hybrid Electric Car," IEEE Proceedings, Vol. 134, Pt. D, No. 6, November 1987
PAICE Ex. 2113	Patent Owner's Notice of Objections to Evidence, IPR2014- 00579, April 29, 2015

I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owners Paice LLC & The Abell Foundation, Inc. (collectively "Paice") move to exclude from evidence Exhibit 1144 (the "Challenged Exhibit"). Paice moves to exclude the Challenged Exhibit as untimely and impermissibly outside the scope of the Petitioner Ford Motor Company's ("Ford") reply under 37 C.F.R. § 42.23. Paice also moves to exclude from evidence the testimony in paragraphs 63-65 of Exhibit 1140 ("Challenged Testimony"), on the same grounds. If the Challenged Exhibit and Challenged Testimony are excluded, Paice further moves that the exhibit and testimony be expunged from the record. Paice also respectfully requests that the Board preclude Ford from using the Challenged Exhibits or the Challenged Testimony at any hearing or in any paper in this proceeding.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

Ford filed the Challenged Exhibit and the Challenged Testimony with its April 22, 2015 Reply to Patent Owner's Response. *See* Paper 28.

Paice timely objected to the Challenged Exhibits and the Challenged Testimony on April 29, 2015. *See* Ex. 2113.

Paice objected to the exhibit and testimony as outside the permitted scope of the reply. *See id.* at 2.

III. BACKGROUND

In its petition in this IPR, Ford argued that the Bumby references disclosed the limitation "a battery, for providing current to said motors [said first and second motors] and accepting charging current from at least said second motor" by pointing to the traction motor. The entirety of Ford's argument is reproduced below:

As illustrated in the figure shown in limitation [1.0] above, the Bumby Project discloses "*a battery*" that is connected electrically to the electric motor via a corresponding motor controller. (Ex. 1104 at 1.) The Bumby Project discloses that the battery provides current to the motor, for instance, in an "electric mode" where all "propulsion power [is] supplied by the electric traction system." (Ex. 1105 at 5-Table 2.) The Bumby Project also discloses a "regenerative braking" mode where "during braking the vehicle kinetic energy is returned to the battery, with the traction motor acting as a generator." (Ex. 1105 at 5; Ex. 1108, Davis ¶259-265.)

See Paper 1 at 34-35. What Ford's petition is completely silent on is that the claim element requires more than a single motor connected to a battery: it clearly requires a battery that provides current to *both* the first and second motor.

Ford relied on their expert Dr. Davis to put forward the argument that it would have been obvious to a person of skill in the art to connect the conventional starter motor disclosed by the Bumby references (which Ford relies on for the "first electric motor") to the high voltage hybrid battery. *See* Ex. 1108 at ¶ 263. Dr. Davis however, provided only the purely conclusory argument that it was obvious

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.