

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 to Severinsky *et al.*
IPR Case No. IPR2014-00579

**REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO 7,104,347**

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities ii

Updated Exhibit List..... iii

I. Introduction..... 1

II. The Board’s construction of *setpoint* is correct and Paice’s proposed construction would not affect Petitioner’s analysis 1

III. A POSA would have combined the Bumby references..... 2

 A. Bumby IV or V do not “teach away” from the sub-optimal control algorithm taught by Bumby II and III3

IV. The Bumby references disclose *a setpoint* that is used for determining when to operate the engine/motor in claims 1 and 23..... 5

V. The Bumby references determine *road load* as recited in claim 7 and 23..... 12

VI. It was obvious to a POSA that a conventional starter motor could accept current from the claimed battery as required in claim 1 and 8..... 13

 A. Claim 1 is satisfied by a conventional starter motor17

 B. Claim 8 is satisfied by a conventional starter motor20

VII. The battery charging limitations of claims 1 and 23 would be obvious in view of the Bumby references..... 20

VIII. Conclusion 25

Certificate of Service 26

Table of Authorities

Cases

Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB,
892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989)..... 4

In re Fulton,
391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 3, 5, 24

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 5

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F. 3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 18, 20

Superguide Corp. v. DirecTV Enterprises, Inc.,
358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 18

Updated Exhibit List

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1101	U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347	n/a	The '347 Patent
1102	'347 Patent File History	n/a	'347 Patent File History
1103	Bumby, J.R. et al. "Computer modelling of the automotive energy requirements for internal combustion engine and battery electric-powered vehicles" - IEE Proc. A 1985(5)	Sept. 1985	Bumby I
1104	Bumby, J.R. et al. "Optimisation and control of a hybrid electric car" - IEE Proc. A 1987, 134(6)	Nov. 1987	Bumby II
1105	Bumby, J.R. et al. "A Hybrid Internal Combustion Engine/Battery Electric Passenger Car for Petroleum Displacement" – Proc Instn Mech Engrs Volume 202 (D1), 51-65	Jan. 1988	Bumby III
1106	Bumby, J.R. et al. "A Test-Bed Facility for Hybrid IC Engine-Battery Electric Road Vehicle Drive Trains" - Trans Inst Meas & Cont 1988 Vol. 10(2)	Apr. 1, 1988	Bumby IV
1107	Bumby, J.R. et al. "Integrated Microprocessor Control of a Hybrid i.c. Engine/Battery-Electric Automotive Power Train" - Trans Inst Meas & Cont 1990 Vol. 12:128	Jan. 1, 1990	Bumby V
1108	Declaration of Gregory W. Davis		
1118	Curriculum Vitae of Gregory Davis		Declaration Ex.
1119	Innovations in Design: 1993 Ford Hybrid Electric Vehicle Challenge	Feb. 1994	Declaration Ex.
1120	1996 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1997	Declaration Ex.
1121	1997 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1998	Declaration Ex.
1122	History of the Electric Automobile – Hybrid Electric Vehicles	1998	Declaration Ex.
1123	Hybrid Vehicle for Fuel Economy		Declaration Ex.

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1124	Hybrid/Electric Vehicle Design Options and Evaluations	Feb. 24-28, 1992	Declaration Ex.
1125	Challenges for the Vehicle Tester in Characterizing Hybrid Electric Vehicles	April 9-11, 1997	Declaration Ex.
1126	Electric and Hybrid Vehicles Program	April 1995	Declaration Ex.
1127	Technology for Electric and Hybrid Vehicles	Feb. 1998	Declaration Ex.
1128	Strategies in Electric and Hybrid Vehicle Design	Feb. 1996	Declaration Ex.
1129	Hybrid Vehicle Potential Assessment	Sept. 30, 1979	Declaration Ex.
1130	Final Report Hybrid Heat Engine / Electric Systems Study	June 1, 1971	Declaration Ex.
1131	Transactions of the Institute of Measurements and Control: A microprocessor controlled gearbox for use in electric and hybrid-electric vehicles	Sept. 1, 1988	Declaration Ex.
1132	Propulsion System Design of Electric Vehicles	1996	Declaration Ex.
1133	Propulsion System Design of Electric and Hybrid Vehicles	Feb. 1997	Declaration Ex.
1134	Bosch Handbook	Oct. 1996	Declaration Ex.
1135	Design Innovations in Electric and Hybrid Electric Vehicles	Feb. 1995	Declaration Ex.
1136	U.S. Patent No. 6,209,672	Apr. 3, 2001	Declaration Ex.
1137	Introduction to Automotive Powertrains (Davis Textbook)		Declaration Ex.
1138	Yamaguchi article: Toyota Prius, Automotive Engineering International	Jan. 1998	Declaration Ex.
1139	60/100095 Provisional Application	Filed Sept. 11, 1998	Declaration Ex.
1140	Dr. Davis Reply Declaration		Davis Reply
1141	Deposition Transcript of Mr. Hannemann IPR2014-00579	April 7-8, 2015	Hannemann Dep.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.