

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00579
Patent 7,104,347

**PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND OF THE PATENT OWNERS AND THIS PETITION3

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION5

 A. The District Courts’ Construction6

 B. The Board should revise its construction of “setpoint (SP)”6

 1. “Setpoint” is used to mark a transition between operating modes7

 2. “Setpoint” is not “predetermined” and is not limited to torque values.....11

IV. INTRODUCTION TO THE PRIOR ART11

V. THE ’347 PATENT IS NOT OBVIOUS OVER THE BUMBY REFERENCES14

 A. A POSITA would not have combined the Bumby references because Bumby 1988 and Masding teach away from the Bumby 1987 and Forster control algorithms.....15

 B. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest each limitation of the asserted claims.....21

 1. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the use of “setpoints (SP)” as required by claims 1, 7, and 2321

 2. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest use of “road load” in claims 7 and 2334

 3. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the “first electric motor” of claims 1 and 8.....37

4.	The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the battery charging mode of claim 1 or 23	43
a)	The Bumby references do not disclose the battery charging limitation of claim 1	46
b)	The Bumby references do not disclose the battery charging limitation of claim 23	51
VI.	CONCLUSION	57

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Fuji Photo Film Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 386 F.3d 1095 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
<i>Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.</i> , 2013 Pat. App. LEXIS 5602 (July 31, 2013)	2
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	7
<i>In re Giannelli</i> , 739 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	54
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	2
<i>In re Nilssen</i> , 837 F.2d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	16
<i>In re Vaidyanathan</i> , 381 Fed. Appx. 985 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	7
<i>InTouch Technologies, Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	50
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	2
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	1
<i>Paice LLC v. Hyundai Motor Co.</i> , No. CIV. WDQ-12-0499, 2014 WL 3725652 (D. Md. July 24, 2014)	6
<i>Smiths Indus. Med. Sys., Inc. v. Vital Signs, Inc.</i> , 183 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	16

UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS

Patent Owner Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
PAICE Ex. 2101	Arbitration Agreement between Paice LLC and Ford Motor Company
PAICE Ex. 2102	Declaration of Neil Hannemann
PAICE Ex. 2103	Neil Hannemann CV
PAICE Ex. 2104	Masding, Philip Wilson (1988) "Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles," Durham theses, Durham University.
PAICE Ex. 2105	Bosch Handbook, 4 th Edition (excerpts)
PAICE Ex. 2106	Gregory Davis Deposition Transcript (Jan. 13, 2015)
PAICE Ex. 2107	Davis, G. W., Hodges, G. L., and Madeka, F. C., "The Development and Performance of the AMPhibian Hybrid Electric Vehicle," SAE Technical Publication 940337, 1994.
PAICE Ex. 2108	Paice v. Ford, C.A. No. 1:14-cv-00492-WDQ, Complaint (Feb. 19, 2014)
PAICE Ex. 2109	Griffith Hack Report

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.