

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00579
Patent 7,104,347

**DECLARATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN
IN SUPPORT OF THE PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.....	5
III.	LEGAL UNDERSTANDING	7
IV.	DEFINITION OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
V.	THE '347 PATENT.....	10
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	13
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE BUMBY REFERENCES	14
	A. Clarke	15
	B. Bumby 1987 and Forster	18
	C. Bumby 1988 and Masding	26
	D. Masding Thesis.....	29
VIII.	ANALYSIS OF THE CLAIMS	32
	A. There is no motivation or reason to combine the Bumby references.....	32
	1. The “sub-optimal” control algorithm leads to excessive gear shifting.....	33
	2. The “sub-optimal” control algorithm results in poor fuel economy	34
	B. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest each and every limitation of the challenged claims.	37
	1. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the “first electric motor” of claims 1 and 8.....	37

2. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the use of “setpoints (SP)” as required by claims 1, 7, and 2343

 a) The “sub-optimal” control algorithm fundamentally requires the use of a transmission.....44

 b) The “sub-optimal” control algorithm compares demand power to a range of power outputs.....48

3. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest use of “road load” in claims 7 and 2356

4. The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the battery charging mode of claim 1 or 2359

 a) The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the battery charging mode of claim 160

 b) The Bumby references do not disclose or suggest the battery charging mode of claim 2366

IX. CONCLUSION.....74

DECLARATION EXHIBITS

Patent Owner Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
PAICE Ex. 2103	Neil Hannemann CV
PAICE Ex. 2104	Masding, Philip Wilson (1988) "Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles," Durham theses, Durham University.
PAICE Ex. 2105	Bosch Handbook, 4 th Edition (excerpts)
PAICE Ex. 2106	Gregory Davis Deposition Transcript (Jan. 13, 2015)

...

I, Neil Hannemann, hereby declare the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by counsel for Paice LLC and the Abell Foundation (collectively, “Paice” or “Patent Owner”) to investigate and analyze certain issues relating to the validity of claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 (“the ’347 patent”) (Ex. 1001).

2. Specifically, for purposes of this declaration, I have been asked to analyze the arguments made by Ford Motor Company (“Ford” or “Petitioner”) in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of the ’347 patent, Case No. IPR2014-00579. I have reviewed Ford’s petition, along with the declaration of Ford’s expert, Dr. Gregory Davis, and the documents cited therein. I have reviewed the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“the Board”) decision to institute, as well as the Board’s claim constructions. My analysis is based on the Board’s claim constructions.

3. I understand that the Board has instituted review of the following claims of the ’347 patent (the “challenged claims”): 1, 7, 8, 18, 21, 23, and 37.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.