Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

Paper No. 58 Entered: June 30, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - -

THE GILLETTE COMPANY and FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC., Petitioners,

v.

ZOND, LLC, Patent Owner.

- - - - - - -

Cases IPR2014-00578 and IPR2014-00604 Patent 6,896,775 Technology Center 1700

- - - - - - -

Oral Hearing Held on Tuesday, May 26, 2015

- - - - - - -

Before: JONI Y. CHANG, SUSAN MITCHELL, JENNIFER MEYER, DEBRA STEPHENS (via video link), and KEVIN TURNER (via video link), *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, May 26, 2015, at 1:05 p.m., in Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Cases IPR2014-00578 and IPR2014-00604 Patent 6,896,775

APPEARANCES:

DOCKET

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER GILLETTE:

DAVID L. CAVANAUGH, ESQ. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-663-6025

YUNG-HOON (Sam) HA, Ph.D., ESQ. COSMIN MAIER, ESQ. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, New York 10007 212-230-8800

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER FUJITSU:

DAVID M. O'DELL, ESQ. GREGORY HUH, ESQ. Haynes and Boone LLP 2505 North Plano Road, Suite 4000 Richardson, Texas 75082-4101 972-739-6900

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER FUJITSU:

DAVID L. McCOMBS, ESQ. Haynes and Boone, LLP 2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75219 214-651-5533

Cases IPR2014-00578 and IPR2014-00604 Patent 6,896,775

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

TAREK N. FAHMI, ESQ. Ascenda Law Group 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 200 San Jose, California 95110-2730 408-389-3537

BRUCE J. BARKER, ESQ. Chao Hadidi Stark & Barker LLP 176 East Main Street, Suite 6 Westborough, Massachusetts 01581 508-366-3800

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(1:05 p.m.)
3	JUDGE CHANG: Please be seated. This is the
4	hearing for cases IPR2014-00578 and IPR2014-00604
5	involving patent 6,896,775.
6	And the Board instituted these two Inter Partes
7	Reviews on October 15th, 2014. Subsequent to the institution
8	the Board granted a joint motion in each case joining both
9	IPR2014-01494 and IPR2014-01482. This is a combined oral
10	hearing. The transcript for this oral hearing will be entered in
11	each of the joint proceedings.
12	And consistent with the Board's previous order,
13	each party has one hour each. And Petitioner will proceed
14	first to present its case as to the challenged claims, and the
15	Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time, and thereafter the Patent
16	Owner will respond to the Petitioner's case.
17	At this time I would like the counsel to introduce
18	yourselves and your colleagues, beginning with the Petitioner.
19	MR. CAVANAUGH: This is David Cavanaugh. I
20	represent Gillette. With me is Sam Ha, also representing
21	Gillette with Wilmer Hale. Also with me is Cosmin Maier,
22	who is with Wilmer Hale representing Gillette.
23	The other Petitioners over here, David O'Dell is
24	with Haynes and Boone representing Fujitsu, David McCombs

4

1 also with Haynes and Boone representing Fujitsu, and Gregory 2 Huh representing Fujitsu. 3 JUDGE CHANG: Thank you. 4 MR. FAHMI: Good afternoon, Your Honors. 5 Tarek Fahmi on behalf of the Patent Owner. With me is Bruce 6 Barker. JUDGE CHANG: Thank you. Counsel, do you 7 8 have a copy of the demonstratives for the court reporter and 9 for the Panel? 10 MR. CAVANAUGH: Yes, we do. 11 MR. FAHMI: Yes. JUDGE CHANG: Okay. Great. Thank you. You 12 13 may proceed at any time. MR. CAVANAUGH: Thank you. May it please 14 15 the Board. Good afternoon. I'm Dave Cavanaugh and with 16 me, as I mentioned earlier, is Cosmin Maier and Sam Ha. I 17 would like to reserve 10 minutes for rebuttal and get started. 18 So last October as, Judge Chang, you noted, the 19 Board instituted a trial on all of the claims of the '775 patent. 20 In the decision on institution the Board credited the testimony of the Petitioner's expert, Mr. DeVito, and found that there 21 22 was a reasonable likelihood that the challenged claims were 23 unpatentable based on the combinations of references that 24 include Wang, Mozgrin, Kudryavtsev, and a few others for 25 some dependent claims.

RM

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.