UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
THE GILLETTE COMPANY, FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR LIMITED, and FUJITSU SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners
v.
ZOND, LLC Patent Owner
Case No. IPR2014-00578 <sup>1</sup>
Patent 6,896,775 B2

### PATENT OWNER'S OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION OF PETITIONERS' REPLY WITNESS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case IPR 2014-01494 has been joined with the instant proceeding.



Patent Owner, Zond, LLC, hereby submits its observations on the cross-examination of Dr. John C. Bravman, whose Declaration (Ex. 1031) was submitted by Petitioners with the Reply to Patent Owner's Opposition, filed March 27, 2015, (Paper No. 48) and whose cross-examination was conducted by deposition on April 3, 2015. Exhibit 2012 is a transcript of that deposition, and is used as the basis for the present observations. The PTAB should consider Patent Owner's Observations on Cross-Examination because the deposition testimony of Petitioners' Reply witness contradicts or is inconsistent with Petitioners' arguments concerning the teachings of the cited references in this proceeding.

1. Dr. Bravman Confirmed That Wang Fails to Teach a Strongly-Ionized Plasma In an Area Adjacent to the Surface of the Substrate.

During his deposition, Dr. Bravman confirmed that, "within the chamber, the plasma will go from areas of high density to low density," with "the end goal [being] to have the high densities near the cathode target." This testimony is relevant because it is inconsistent with the Petitioner's contention that Wang teaches "selecting at least one of a pulse amplitude and a pulse width of the electrical pulse in order to cause the strongly-ionized plasma to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ex. 2012 at 45:4-12.



substantially uniform in an area adjacent to the surface of the substrate," as required by claim 21.<sup>3</sup> Claim 21 requires that strongly-ionized plasma be adjacent to the surface of the substrate. However, Dr. Bravman's testimony reveals that in Wang, the strongly-ionized plasma remains near the cathode target.

### 2. Dr. Bravman Admitted that Kudravetsev's Model Does Not Permit a Solution for Volume Between the Anode and the Cathode.

During his deposition, Dr. Bravman conceded that the model described by Kudryavetsev is "a scale-independent parametric model," in which none of the parameters are specified in terms of the volume between an anode and a cathode.<sup>4</sup> This testimony is relevant because it is inconsistent with the Petitioner's contention that the combined teachings of Wang, Mozgrin and Kudryavetsev somehow suggest choosing a volume between an anode and a cathode to increase an ionization rate of excited atoms and molecules in a weakly-ionized plasma, as required by claim 9.<sup>5</sup> As explained by Dr. Bravman, Kudryavetsev describes a theoretical framework and, as such, "it doesn't tell you

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Corrected Petition at 51.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petitioners' Reply at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ex. 2012 at 48:14-23.

to put the anode in one place and the cathode in another. Equations don't do that.

They help you model what happens when you create a certain geometry and create a set of experimental conditions." Thus, the combination of references cited by Petitioners cannot suggest choosing a particular volume, as recited in claim 9, inasmuch as the model of Kudravetsev does not permit any solution for same.

Date: April 10, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

/Tarek N. Fahmi/

Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402 Ascenda Law Group, PC 333 W. San Carlos St., Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110 1 866 877 4883 tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com

Counsel for Patent Owner Zond, LLC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ex. 2012 at 53:2-9.



#### PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
Ex. 2001	Affidavit of Etai Lahav in Support of Patent Owner's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 2002	Affidavit of Maria Granovsky in Support of Patent Owner's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 2003	Affidavit of Tigran Vardanian in Support of Patent Owner's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission
Ex. 2004	Transcript of Deposition of Richard DeVito, IPR2014-00578 & IPR2014-00604, Dec. 11, 2014.
Ex. 2005	Transcript of Deposition of Richard DeVito, IPR2014-00578 & IPR2014-00604, Dec. 17, 2014.
Ex. 2006	Declaration of Larry D. Hartsough, Ph.D.
Ex. 2007	Eronini Umez-Eronini, SYSTEM DYNAMICS AND CONTROL, Brooks/Cole Publishing Co. (1999), pp. 10-13.
Ex. 2008	Robert C. Weyrick, Fundamentals of Automatic Control, McGraw-Hill Book Company (1975), pp. 10-13.
Ex. 2009	Chiang et al., U.S. Patent 6,398,929.
Ex. 2010	Stipulations of Dismissal
Ex. 2011	Zond-TSMC Settlement Agreement (Board Only)
Ex. 2012	Transcript of Deposition of John C. Bravman, Ph.D.



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

#### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

