

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 to Severinsky *et al.*

IPR Case No.: IPR2014-00571

**REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,104,347**

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities	ii
Updated Exhibit List.....	iii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. A POSA would NOT understand Severinsky '970 as Paice proposes, i.e., that it doesn't consider torque demands but instead "uses speed as the one factor in determining when to employ the engine"	1
A. Paice's "road load" argument depends on Mr. Hannemann's improper application of the Board's <i>instantaneous torque required to propel the vehicle</i> construction.....	2
B. A POSA would understand that Severinsky '970 teaches using <i>the instantaneous torque required to propel the vehicle</i> for mode selection.....	6
C. Paice's reliance on Severinsky '970's use of "speed" language is misplaced where all agree, and a POSA would understand, that speed and torque can be proxies in that "higher vehicle speeds generally require more torque"	10
III. A POSA would understand that Severinsky '970 discloses the disputed limitations of claim 23	11
A. Severinsky '970 teaches the engine [23.8], motor [23.7], and setpoint [23.1] limitations	13
1. Paice's arguments about "output torque" and "output power and speed" ignore the well-known relationships between torque, power and speed, and misrepresent Ford's positon.....	17
2. Paice cannot avoid the admissions in the '347 Patent.....	19
3. The Board's construction of <i>setpoint</i> is correct; and Paice's proposed construction would not affect the analysis.....	21
B. Severinsky teaches the charging limitation [23.10]	21
IV. A POSA would understand that Severinsky '970 and Ehsani disclose the disputed limitations of claim 1 and its dependents.....	24
V. Conclusion	25
Certificate of Service	26

Table of Authorities

Cases

Clearwater Systems Corp. v. Evapco, Inc.,
394 Fed.Appx. 699 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 19

Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.,
848 F.2d 1560 (Fed.Cir.1988)..... 20

In re Fulton,
391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 25

PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc.,
491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 19

Other Authorities

MPEP 2258 20

Rules

37 CFR 1.104 20

Updated Exhibit List

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347	n/a	The '347 Patent
1002	'347 Patent File History	n/a	'347 Patent File History
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970	Sept. 6, 1994	Severinsky '970
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,586,613	Dec. 24, 1996	Ehsani
1005	Declaration of Gregory Davis	n/a	Davis
1006	Plaintiff Paice LLC's Reply Claim Construction Brief (Case No. 2:04-cv-00211)	Mar. 8, 2005	n/a
1007	Plaintiff Paice LLC's Claim Construction Brief (Case No. 2:04-cv-00211)	Mar. 29, 2005	n/a
1008	Claim Construction Order (Case No. 2:04-cv-00211)	Sept. 28, 2005	n/a
1009	Plaintiff Paice LLC's Opening Claim Construction Brief (Case No. 2:07-cv-00180)	June 25, 2008	n/a
1010	Plaintiff Paice LLC's Reply Brief on Claim Construction (Case No. 2:07-cv-00180)	Aug. 1, 2008	n/a
1011	Claim Construction Order (Case No. 2:07-cv-00180)	Dec. 5, 2008	n/a
1012	Plaintiff Paice LLC and Abell Foundation, Inc.'s Opening Claim Construction Brief (Case No. 1:12-cv-00499)	Nov. 14, 2013	n/a
1013	Plaintiff Paice LLC and Abell Foundation, Inc.'s Responsive Brief on Claim Construction (Case No. 1:12-cv-00499)	Dec. 16, 2013	n/a
1014	U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board January 3, 2014 Decision (Appeal No. 2011-004811)	Jan. 3, 2014	n/a
1015	Curriculum Vitae of Gregory Davis		Declaration Ex.
1016	Innovations in Design: 1993 Ford Hybrid Electric Vehicle Challenge	Feb. 1994	Declaration Ex.

...

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1017	1996 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1997	Declaration Ex.
1018	1997 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1998	Declaration Ex.
1019	History of the Electric Automobile – Hybrid Electric Vehicles	1998	Declaration Ex.
1020	Hybrid Vehicle for Fuel Economy		Declaration Ex.
1021	Hybrid/Electric Vehicle Design Options and Evaluations	Feb. 24-28, 1992	Declaration Ex.
1022	Challenges for the Vehicle Tester in Characterizing Hybrid Electric Vehicles	April 9-11, 1997	Declaration Ex.
1023	Electric and Hybrid Vehicles Program	April 1995	Declaration Ex.
1024	Technology for Electric and Hybrid Vehicles	Feb. 1998	Declaration Ex.
1025	Strategies in Electric and Hybrid Vehicle Design	Feb. 1996	Declaration Ex.
1026	Hybrid Vehicle Potential Assessment	Sept. 30, 1979	Declaration Ex.
1027	Final Report Hybrid Heat Engine / Electric Systems Study	June 1, 1971	Declaration Ex.
1028	Transactions of the Institute of Measurements and Control: A microprocessor controlled gearbox for use in electric and hybrid-electric vehicles	Sept. 1, 1988	Declaration Ex.
1029	Propulsion System Design of Electric Vehicles	1996	Declaration Ex.
1030	Propulsion System Design of Electric and Hybrid Vehicles	Feb. 1997	Declaration Ex.
1031	Bosch Handbook	Oct. 1996	Declaration Ex.
1032	Design Innovations in Electric and Hybrid Electric Vehicles	Feb. 1995	Declaration Ex.
1033	U.S. Patent No. 6,209,672	Apr. 3, 2001	Declaration Ex.
1034	Introduction to Automotive Powertrains (Davis Textbook)		Declaration Ex.
1035	Yamaguchi article: Toyota Prius, Automotive Engineering International	Jan. 1998	Declaration Ex.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.