

PUBLIC – REDACTED VERSION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FORD MOTOR COMPANY  
Petitioner

v.

PAICE LLC & THE ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00568  
Patent 7,455,134

---

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent  
No. 7,455,134**

PUBLIC – REDACTED VERSION

Patent No. 7,455,134  
Patent Owner Response

Case IPR2014-00568  
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0012IP1

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND OF THE '134 PATENT ..... 2

III. PETITIONER IS BARRED OR ESTOPPED FROM REQUESTING INTER PARTES REVIEW CHALLENGING THE '134 PATENT CLAIMS..... 6

    A. Introduction – The Arbitration Agreement ..... 7

    B. Petitioner Has Failed to Meet the Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.104..... 11

    C. The Board Should Exercise its Discretion Under 37 C.F.R. §42.108(b) and Deny Institution of the Petition to Prevent Harm to Patent Owner ..... 12

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 14

V. DEFECTS IN THE PROPOSED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY ..... 17

    A. Ground 1A is defective because Ford has failed to establish that there is a reasonable likelihood that the '455 PCT publication discloses “*a ratio of maximum DC voltage ... to current supplied from said electrical storage device to at least said first AC-DC converter, when maximum current is so supplied, is at least 2.5,*” as recited in independent claims 1 and 58..... 18

    B. Ground 1B is defective because it is supported only by conclusory statements and therefore fails to establish a reasonable likelihood that the '455 PCT publication renders the challenged claims obvious..... 30

    C. Ground 2 is defective because Ehsani alone does not render the challenged claim obvious. .... 31

VI. REDUNDANT GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY..... 32

VII. CONCLUSION ..... 33

PUBLIC – REDACTED VERSION

Patent No. 7,455,134  
Patent Owner Response

Case IPR2014-00568  
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0012IP1

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Federal Cases**

Application of Lukach, 442 F.2d 967 (CCPA 1971)..... 20

Continental Can Co. USA, Inc. v. Monsanto Co., 948 F.2d 1264, 20 USPQ2d 1746 (Fed. Cir. 1991)..... 23

Electro Med. Sys., S.A. v. Cooper Life Sciences, Inc., 34 F.3d 1048 (Fed. Cir. 1994) .. 24, 28

In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ..... 23

In re Schreiber 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997)..... 22

In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ..... 14

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 30, 31

Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co., CBM2013-00003, Paper No. 7 ... 32

Motorola, Inc. v. Interdigital Tech. Corp., 121 F.3d 1461 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ..... 22

Scripps Clinic & Research Found. v. Genentech, Inc., 927 F.2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1991) ..... 23

Studiengesellschaft Kohle, m.b.H. v. Dart Indus., Inc., 726 F.2d 724 (Fed. Cir. 1984) .. 22, 23

Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa North American Corp., 299 F.3d 1313, 63 USPQ2d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2002) ..... 23

Trintec Industries, Inc. v. Top-U.S.A. Corp., 295 F.3d 1292, 63 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2002) ..... 23

::

**PUBLIC – REDACTED VERSION**

Patent No. 7,455,134  
Patent Owner Response

Case IPR2014-00568  
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0012IP1

**Federal Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 313 ..... 1  
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)..... 30  
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)..... 13

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) ..... 32  
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) ..... 14  
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 ..... 11  
37 C.F.R. § 42.107 ..... 1  
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) ..... 30  
37 C.F.R. §42.108(b)..... 12

...

**PUBLIC – REDACTED VERSION**

Patent No. 7,455,134  
Patent Owner Response

Case IPR2014-00568  
Attorney Docket No: 36351-0012IP1

**EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Name</b>
2001	Arbitration Agreement between Paice LLC and Ford Motor Company

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.