

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FACEBOOK, INC.

Petitioner

v.

TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00566

Patent 6,038,295

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,038,295**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	PRIOR PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING THE MATTES PATENT (AND PETITIONER FACEBOOK).....	8
III.	INVENTOR DR. HEINZ MATTES.....	13
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) .....	15
	A.    “Digital Images” (Every Claim) .....	15
	B.    “Classification Information Prescribed By A User . . . To Characterize Digital Images” (Independent Claim 1) “Classification Information Is Prescribable By A User . . . Which Characterizes The Digital Images” (Independent Claim 17) .....	19
	C.    “Means For Allocating Classification Information Prescribed By A User” (Claim 1) .....	22
	D.    “Means For Incorporating Time Information” (Dependent Claim 6).....	26
	E.    “A Server Including...A Memory In Which At Least The Digital Images Are Archived, The Archiving Taken [Sic] Into Consideration The Classifying Information” (claim 1) “Storing The Digital Images In A Server, Said Step Of Storing Taking Into Consideration The Classification Information” (Claim 17) .....	27
	F.    “Data Bank System” (Claim 9).....	30
V.	ARGUMENT.....	32
	A.    Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Of <i>Hassan</i> And <i>Witek</i> Fails To Transmit A Digital Image That Was Initially Stored In The Claimed Telephone Unit, As Required By Claims 1 and 17.....	32
	B.    Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Of <i>Hassan</i> And <i>Witek</i> Lacks A Server That Stores The Digital Image That Was Initially Stored In The Claimed Telephone Unit, As Required By Claims 1 and 17.....	35
	C.    The Alleged <i>Witek</i> Server (“Computer 12”) Does Not Store The Digital Images Taking Into Consideration The Classification Information, As Required By Claims 1 And 17.....	39

D.	The Alleged <i>Witek</i> Server (“Computer 12”) Does Not Store The Digital Images Taking Into Consideration Classification Information As Prescribed By A User, As Required By Claims 1 And 17.....	45
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	48

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Alza Corp. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> , 464 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	37
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l. Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	37, 39
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	37
<i>In re Wilson</i> , 424 F.2d 1382 (CCPA 1970).....	37
 <b>Other Authorities</b>	
MPEP 2143.03.....	37

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

- 2001 Sharp J-SH04, Wikipedia (July 7, 2014, 11:15 AM), <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J-SH04>.
- 2002 Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 11th Edition, 1996.
- 2003 Supplemental Expert Report of Dr. V. Thomas Rhyne Regarding the Invalidity of the Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,895,557 and 7,765,482, dated September 14, 2012 (From Summit 6 Litigation).
- 2004 April 2, 2014 Trial Transcript From the Summit 6 Litigation (Direct and Cross Examination of Dr. Rhyne).
- 2005 April 3, 2014 Trial Transcript From the Summit 6 Litigation (Cross Examination of Dr. Rhyne).
- 2006 Zvonar et al., GSM – Evolution Towards 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- 2007 Expert Report of Dr. V. Thomas Rhyne Regarding the Invalidity of the Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,895,557 and 7,765,482, dated August 1, 2012 (From Summit 6 Litigation).
- 2008 Transcript of October 5, 2012 Deposition of Vernon Thomas Rhyne IV (From Summit 6 Litigation).
- 2009 Wegener et al., Utilizing On-chip Resources for Testing Embedded Mixed-signal Cores, Springer Science + Business Media, 2009.
- 2010 Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, 2d Edition, 1994.
- 2011 Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 20th Edition, 2004.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.