IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

VIRNETX, INC.		§	
	Plaintiff	§ §	
vs.		§ 8	CASE NO. 6:07 CV 80
MIC	DOGOTH CORROL (TAN)	§	PATENT CASE
MIC	ROSOFT CORPORATION	§ 8	
	Defendant	§ §	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

1. Did VirnetX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Microsoft infringes Claims 1, 10, or 12 of the '135 Patent or Claims 1, 4, 15, 17, 20, 31, 33, or 35 of the '180 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim in Column 1.

2. Of the claims you have found infringe, if any, did VirnetX prove by clear and convincing evidence that Microsoft's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim you have found infringes, if any, in Column 2.

3. Did Microsoft prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the listed claims of the following patents are invalid?

If you find the claim invalid answer "Yes," otherwise answer "No" in Column 3.



	Column 1 Infringement?	Column 2 Willfulness?	Column 3 Invalidity?
'135 Patent			
Claim 1 Claim 10 Claim 12	Yes Yes Tyes	yes yes	NO NO
'180 Patent			
Claim 1	Ves	Ves	No
Claim 4	ves	Jes	ND
Claim 15	Ve5	ves	NO.
Claim 17	_yes	VC5	NO
Claim 20	yes	ves	NO
Claim 31	- Ves	<u>yes</u>	NO
Claim 33	Ves	V'es	NO
Claim 35	yes	yes	_NO_

If you have found any claim infringed and valid, answer question 4; otherwise, do not answer question 4.

4. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate VirnetX for Microsoft's infringement of the following patents?

'135 Patent

Answer with the amount: \$ 71, 750. M

'180 Patent

Answer with the amount: \$_34 M

Signed this //e day of March, 2010

JURY FOREPERSON

