



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
95/001,774	10/19/2011	8,030,348	AKBM-32174	1897

58249 7590 05/14/2013

COOLEY LLP  
ATTN: Patent Group  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20004

EXAMINER
----------

CAMPELL, BRUCE R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3991

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/14/2013

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Petition for Inter Partes Review  
Of U.S. Patent 8,278,351

Exhibit

**ENZYMOTEC - 1064**

<b>Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination</b>	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination	
	95/001,774	8,030,348	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRUCE CAMPELL	3991	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. --

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

J. Mitchell Jones, ESQ.  
Casmir Jones, S.C.  
2275 Deming Way  
Suite 310  
Middleton, Wisconsin 53562

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified reexamination proceeding. 37 CFR 1.903.

Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each time the patent owner responds to this communication, the third party requester of the *inter partes* reexamination may once file written comments within a period of 30 days from the date of service of the patent owner's response. This 30-day time period is statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such, it cannot be extended. See also 37 CFR 1.947.

If an *ex parte* reexamination has been merged with the *inter partes* reexamination, no responsive submission by any *ex parte* third party requester is permitted.

**All correspondence** relating to this *inter partes* reexamination proceeding should be directed to the **Central Reexamination Unit** at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of the communication enclosed with this transmittal.

<b><i>ACTION CLOSING PROSECUTION (37 CFR 1.949)</i></b>	<b>Control No.</b>	<b>Patent Under Reexamination</b>
	95/001,774 <b>Examiner</b> BRUCE CAMPBELL	8,030,348 <b>Art Unit</b> 3991

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. --**

**Responsive to the communication(s) filed by:**

Patent Owner on 19 March, 2012

Third Party(ies) on 14 August, 2012

Patent owner may once file a submission under 37 CFR 1.951(a) within 1 month(s) from the mailing date of this Office action. Where a submission is filed, third party requester may file responsive comments under 37 CFR 1.951(b) within 30-days (not extendable- 35 U.S.C. § 314(b)(2)) from the date of service of the initial submission on the requester. **Appeal cannot be taken from this action.** Appeal can only be taken from a Right of Appeal Notice under 37 CFR 1.953.

**All correspondence** relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the **Central Reexamination Unit** at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of this Office action.

**PART I. THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:**

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892
2. ☒ Information Disclosure Citation, PTO/SB/08
3. ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

**PART II. SUMMARY OF ACTION:**

- 1a. ☒ Claims 1-148 are subject to reexamination.
- 1b. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are not subject to reexamination.
2. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ have been canceled.
3. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are confirmed. [Unamended patent claims]
4. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are patentable. [Amended or new claims]
5. ☒ Claims 1-148 are rejected.
6. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are objected to.
7. ☐ The drawings filed on \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ are acceptable ☐ are not acceptable.
8. ☐ The drawing correction request filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved.
9. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d). The certified copy has:  
☐ been received. ☐ not been received. ☐ been filed in Application/Control No \_\_\_\_\_
10. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

***Inter Partes Reexamination  
Action Closing Prosecution***

U.S. Patent 8,030,348 (the '348 patent) is subject to reexamination. A non-final Office action rejecting claims 1-21 was mailed December 19, 2011.

Patent Owner filed a response on March 19, 2012. The response included claim amendments, new claims 22-148, and declarations by Fotini Sampalis, Earl L. White, Faustinus Yeboah, Fereidoon Shahidi, Chong M. Lee, Anthony P. Bimbo and Jacek Jaczynski.

Requester filed comments and declarations by Bjorn Ole Haugsgierd (supplemental declaration), Thomas Gundersen (supplemental declaration), Richard B. van Breemen and Ivar Storrø on April 18, 2012.

A Notice Re Defective Paper was mailed August 3, 2012.

Requester filed corrected comments on August 14, 2012.

***Status of the Claims***

Claims 1-21 and newly added claims 22-148 are subject to reexamination. Claims 10 and 15-18 have been amended.

***Documents Submitted by Requester***

The following documents were submitted by Requester.

WO 00/23546, published April 27, 2000 by Beaudoin et al. (Beaudoin I)

Canadian Application 2,251,265, published April 21, 2000 by Beaudoin et al. (Beaudoin II)

Japanese Laid Open Application 2909508, published August 28, 1990 by Maruyama et al.

Araki et al., Positional distribution of fatty acids glycerolipids of the marine red alga, *Porphyra yezoensis* 28(5):761-766 (1987)

Japanese Laid Open Publication 64-50890, published February 27, 1989 by Nishizawa et al.

FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 441, Chapter 8, Seaweeds Used as Human Food (2003)

Eichberg, "Lecithin - Its Manufacture and Use in the Fat and Oil Industry," Oils and Soap 51-54, (1939)

Johnson and Lucas, Comparison of Alternative Solvents for Oils Extraction, JAOCS 60(2):229-242 (1983)

U.S. Pat. No. 4,714,571, issued December 22, 1987 to Tremblay et al.

WO 97/39759, published October 30, 1997 by Stoll et al.

### ***Documents Cited by Examiner***

Masson et al. (1990) Influence of hazelnut oil phospholipids on the skin moisturizing effect of a cosmetic emulsion. International Journal of Cosmetic Science 12,243-251

[http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/product/40396/Glycolix\\_Elite\\_Treatment\\_Pads\\_10%25\\_%282010\\_formulation%29/#jumptohere](http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/product/40396/Glycolix_Elite_Treatment_Pads_10%25_%282010_formulation%29/#jumptohere) [accessed 3/7/2013]  
("Environmental Working Group")

### ***Scope of the Claims***

In reexamination, patent claims are construed broadly. In re Yamamoto, 740 F.2d 1569, 1571, 222 USPQ 934, 936 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (claims given "their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification"). The independent claims read as follows:

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.