UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioners

v.

NOVARTIS AG AND LTS LOHMANN THERAPIE-SYSTEME AG, Patent Owners

Inter Partes Review IPR2014-00549¹

U.S. Patent No. 6,316,023

PETITIONERS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE

¹ Case IPR2015-00265 has been joined with this proceeding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Ex. 2059 is Not Admissible as an Authenticated Business Record Under FRE 8(6)	
II.	Dr. Tiemessen's Prior Trial Testimony (Ex. 2053, 2061) Is Not Admissible der the Residual Exception, FRE 807.	
III.	Petitioners Did Not Waive Their Objections to Ex. 2015 and 2032	.4
	FRE 703 Does Not Render Hearsay Documents (Ex. 2015, 2032, 2053, 61) Admissible.	.5
	Reliance on Experimental Results that are Reported in the Patent ecification is in Contravention of the Rules.	5



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
200 Kelsey Assocs., LLC v. Delan Enters. Inc., et al.,	
No. 92044571, 2008 WL 2515089	
(T.T.A.B. June 11, 2008)	2
Astra Aktiebolag v. Andrx Pharms., Inc.,	
222 F. Supp. 2d 423 (S.D.N.Y. 2002)	3
Monsanto Co. v. David,	
516 F.3d 1009 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	5
Munoz v. Strahm Farms, Inc.,	
69 F.3d 501 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	2
Rorabaugh v. Cont'l Cas. Co.,	
321 F. App'x 708 (9th Cir. 2009)	4
S.E.C. v. Jasper,	
678 F.3d 1116 (9th Cir. 2012)	2, 3
U-Haul Int'l, Inc. v. Lumbermens Mut. Cas. Co.,	
576 F.3d 1040 (9th Cir. 2009)	3
Wells v. J. C. Penney Co.,	
250 F.2d 221 (9th Cir. 1957)	4
Rules	
Fed. R. Evid. 703 Advisory Committee Notes (2000 Amendments)	1, 5
Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)	4
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)	2
Fed. R. Evid. 807	3
Regulations	
77 Fed. Reg. 48612 (Aug. 14, 2012)	5



Patent Owners have failed to establish that a hearsay exception applies, and FRE 703 does not render underlying hearsay and unauthenticated documents admissible.

I. Ex. 2059 is Not Admissible as an Authenticated Business Record Under FRE 803(6).

Patent Owners have not cured the double-hearsay nature of Ex. 2059, which on its face purports to "summarize the findings" from elsewhere. (Paper 48 at 7; Paper 49 at 7.) Patent Owners rely solely on unsupported attorney argument that the 29 additional pages of Ex. 2062 are the findings summarized by the single page of Ex. 2059. (Paper 49 at 7.) Even if true, Ex. 2062 suffers from the same hearsay, foundation, and authentication deficiencies as Ex. 2059. And Ex. 2062 was served on Petitioners 24 days after Dr. Schöneich's deposition; and Dr. Schöneich did not have an opportunity to examine it.

No expert has opined on Ex. 2062, or original Ex. 2059, for that matter. Patent Owners chose not to use either document with Dr. Klibanov. Dr. Schöneich did not testify that Ex. 2059 shows a degradation pathway for rivastigmine. He merely agreed that a chemical structure displayed on the face of the exhibit "would be described as an n-oxide." (Ex. 1048 at 19:5-12.) Patent Owners' assertion related to Ex. 2059, that "it is now known that when rivastigmine oxidizes, it forms an N-oxide," is based only on attorney argument and not expert testimony. (Paper



45 at 9-10; Paper 52 at 9.)²

Ex. 2059 is also not admissible as a business record. The declaration of Mr. McArdle that Patent Owners rely upon to support Ex. 2059 is inadequate to show that the document is a business record. FRE 803(6) requires a showing that the record was made (i) at or near the time; and (ii) by, or from information transmitted from, a person with knowledge. 200 Kelsey Assocs., LLC v. Delan Enters. Inc., et al., No. 92044571, 2008 WL 2515089, *2 (T.T.A.B. June 11, 2008). The declaration merely parrots the language of FRE 803(6)(A) and provides no detail to show that Mr. McArdle knows anything at all about when or how Ex. 2059 was made. (Ex. 1052 at ¶ 5.)

In contrast to the McArdle declaration, the declarants in the cases relied upon by Patent Owners had specific personal knowledge to establish when and how the underlying documents were made. In *Munoz*, the declarant was the photographer who took the photographs at issue. *Munoz v. Strahm Farms, Inc.*, 69 F.3d 501, 503 (Fed. Cir. 1995). In *200 Kelsey Associates*, the declarant explained in detail at deposition how the company employees got the information contained

² Patent Owners further mischaracterize Dr. Schöneich's testimony elsewhere. (Paper 49 at 7.) He stated that an <u>un</u>substituted olefin (alkene) cannot form an Novide and that rivastigmine would be considered a **substituted** olefin (alkene). (Ex. 1048 at 10:24-12:24, 15:12-15.)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

