

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED
Petitioner

v.

JOHN D'AGOSTINO
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00543
(Patent 8,036,988)

Title: System and Method for Performing Secure Credit Card Transactions

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE

Table of Contents

	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Statement of Law	1
III. Patent Owner’s Proffered Expert Testimony Should be Excluded Because Mr. Gussin Is Not Qualified as an Expert In the Pertinent Art.....	3
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	3
B. Patent Owner’s Expert Does Not Qualify as a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art, and Therefore His Testimony Should Be Excluded	4
IV. Patent Owner’s Expert Testimony Should Be Excluded As It Relies on Incorrect Claim Constructions	5
V. Conclusion.....	6

PETITIONER'S UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,036,988
Exhibit 1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,036,988
Exhibit 1003	File History for U.S. Reexamination No. 90/012,517
Exhibit 1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,422,462 ("Cohen")
Exhibit 1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,636,833 ("Flitcroft")
Exhibit 1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,826,243 ("Musmanno")
Exhibit 1007	Complaint in D'Agostino v. MasterCard, Inc. et al. (13-cv-0738)
Exhibit 1008	Declaration of Jack D. Grimes, Ph.D.
Exhibit 1009	Excerpts from Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary, Second Edition
Exhibit 1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,064,987 ("Walker")
Exhibit 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,283,829 ("Anderson")
Exhibit 1012	ISO 8583 Financial Transaction Card Originated Messages – Interchange Message Specifications (1992) ("ISO 8583")
Exhibit 1013	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,840,486
Exhibit 1014	Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("PTAB") 3/7/14 CBM Decision for U.S. Patent No. 8,036,988
Exhibit 1015	Patent Owner's 12/24/13 Preliminary Response to Petitioner's Request for CBM Patent Review of the '988 Patent

Petitioner's Motion to Exclude

Exhibit 1016	U.S. Provisional App. No. 60/079,884 (“884 Provisional)
Exhibit 1017	U.S. Provisional App. No. 60/099,614 (“614 Provisional)
Exhibit 1018	U.S. Provisional App. No. 60/098,175 (“175 Provisional)
Exhibit 1019	U.S. Provisional App. No. 60/092,500 (“500 Provisional)
Exhibit 1020	Statement Regarding Prior or Concurrent Proceedings (37 C.F.R. § 1.565)
Exhibit 1021	Examiners “Statement of Reasons for Patentability and/or Confirmation”
Exhibit 1022	Patent Owner's 09/11/14 E-mail to Petitioner
Exhibit 1023	Petitioner's 09/11/14 E-mail to Patent Owner
Exhibit 1024	U.S. Census Bureau, “Statistics of U.S. Businesses Employment and Payroll Summary: 2012”
Exhibit 1025	Petitioner's Objections Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64 to Patent Owner's Evidence Submitted with Patent Owner's Response
Exhibit 1026	Declaration of Edward L. Gussin Served By Patent Owner under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c), Petitioner MasterCard International Incorporated (“MasterCard”) submits this Motion to Exclude Evidence submitted by Patent Owner John D’Agostino (“Patent Owner”). MasterCard moves to exclude the declaration of Patent Owner’s proffered expert, Edward L. Gussin, under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 because he is not qualified as an expert on the subject matter of U.S. Patent 8,036,988 (the “Challenged Patent”).

The definition of a person of ordinary skill in the field of the Challenged Patent is not in dispute. Mr. Gussin agrees with the definition of a person of ordinary skill proposed by MasterCard’s expert, Dr. Jack Grimes. However, there is no evidence that Mr. Gussin himself has the qualifications that he admits are required for a person of ordinary skill in the art. It is well-settled that, to be qualified as an expert, one must at least be a person of ordinary skill. *See Sundance, Inc. v. Demonte Fabricating Ltd.*, 550 F.3d 1356, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Mr. Gussin is not. Accordingly, Mr. Gussin’s declaration (Patent Owner Exhibit 2007) should be excluded and any conclusions drawn therefrom deemed unsupported.

II. Statement of Law

Under the rules governing *inter partes* review, “[e]xcept as otherwise provided in this subpart, the Federal Rules of Evidence shall apply to a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.