

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED
Petitioner

v.

D'AGOSTINO, JOHN
Patent Owner

Case CBM2013-00057
Patent 8,036,988

Before PATRICK E. BAKER, *Trial Paralegal*

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS	vi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
A. Overview of the ‘988 Patent	2
B. Status of Pending District Court Action.....	4
III. STATEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF	5
IV. STATEMENT OF REASONS WHY THE COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW SHOULD BE DENIED.....	5
A. MasterCard’s proposed claim constructions	5
B. The effective filing date of the ‘988 patent.....	8
C. Flitcroft is not available as prior art against the ‘988 patent	8
1. <i>Flitcroft’s priority claim</i>	9
2. <i>Flitcroft’s prosecution history</i>	9
3. <i>The Flitcroft’s provisional applications do not provide written description support for the claimed invention</i>	11
D. Even if Flitcroft was entitled priority to the Flitcroft provisional applications, Flitcroft does not antedate at least one material limitation of all the independent claims of the ‘988 patent	16
E. The Ex Parte Reexamination of the ‘988 patent	18
1. <i>Status of the reexamination of the ‘988 patent</i>	18
2. <i>Reexamination of claim 21 of the ‘988 patent should not have been ordered</i>	18

3. <i>The more likely than not burden for granting a covered business method review is greater than the substantial new question of patentability standard for granting an ex parte reexamination.....</i>	24
F. Cohen does not disclose a material limitation of all the independent claims of '988 patent	25
1. <i>Cohen does not disclose a material limitation of independent claims 1, 17, 19, and 22.....</i>	25
2. <i>Cohen does not disclose a material limitation of independent Claim 21</i>	28
G. All of the '988 patent claims are definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	29
1. <i>The term “one or more merchants” is definite and supported by the specification of the '988 patent.....</i>	31
2. <i>The term “a number of transactions” is definite and supported by the specification of the '988 patent.....</i>	32
V. CONCLUSION	34

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Avery Dennison Corp. v. Whitlam Label Co., Inc.</i> , 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27836 (N.D. Ohio, Sept. 24, 2003).....	33
<i>Bradford Co. v. Afco Mfg., et al.</i> , 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88547 (S.D. Ohio, Dec. 5, 2006).....	33
<i>Datamize, LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc.</i> , 417 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	29, 30
<i>Exxon Research & Eng'g Co. v. United States</i> , 265 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	29
<i>In re Giacomini</i> , 612 F.3d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	8
<i>Lawler Manufacturing Co., Inc. v. Bradley Corp., et al.</i> , 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4027 (N.D. Ill. March 29, 1996).....	33
<i>Oakley, Inc. v. Sunglass Hut Int'l</i> , 316 F.3d 1331, 1340–41 (Fed.Cir.2003)	30
<i>Performance Aftermarket Parts Group Inc., et al. v. TI Group Auto. Sys., Inc.</i> , 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 92686 (S.D. Tex., Dec. 22, 2006).....	33
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1314 (Fed.Cir.2005) (en banc)	30

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 103	1
35 U.S.C. § 119(e).....	8
35 U.S.C. § 120	1
35 U.S.C. § 324(a).....	1

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)..... 26

Rules

Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents,
77 Fed. Reg. 48,739 (Aug. 14, 2012) (codified 37 C.F.R. pt. 42)..... 7

Other Authorities

Message from Chief Judge James Donald Smith,
USPTO Discusses Key Aspects of New Administrative Patent Trials,
http://www.uspto.gov/aia_implementation/smith-blog-extravaganza.jsp
(last visited Dec. 18, 2013) 24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.