

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

WINTEK CORPORATION  
Petitioner,  
v.  
TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2013-00567  
Patent 8,217,902

---

WINTEK CORPORATION  
Petitioner,  
v.  
TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2013-00568  
Patent 8,217,902

---

WINTEK CORPORATION  
Petitioner,  
v.  
TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00541  
Patent 8,217,902

Monday, April 21, 2014

2:29 p.m. EST

Teleconference before the Patent Trial and Appeals Board, Judge Josiah C. Cocks presiding, the proceedings being recorded stenographically by Cynthia J. Conforti, Certified Shorthand Reporter, License No. 084-003064, and transcribed under her direction.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

1           A P P E A R A N C E S   O F   C O U N S E L

2           (All participants appearing by phone)

3

4           On behalf of the Patent Trial and Appeal

5           Board:

6                        JOSIAH C. COCKS, ESQ., Administrative

7           Patent Judge, Presiding

8                        ADAM V. FLOYD, ESQ., Administrative

9           Patent Judge

10                      RICHARD E. RICE, ESQ., Administrative

11           Patent Judge

12

13           On behalf of TPK Touch Solutions:

14                      JOSEPH RICHETTI, ESQ.

15                      Bryan Cave LLP

16                      1290 Avenue of the Americas

17                      New York, New York 10104-3300

18                      212.541.2000

19                      joe.richetti@bryancave.com

20

21

22

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

1           A P P E A R A N C E S O F   C O U N S E L

2           (All participants appearing by phone)

3                                   (Continued)

4           On behalf of Wintek Corporation:

5                           JOSEPH E. PALYS, ESQ.

6                           Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett

7                           & Dunner LLP

8                           Two Freedom Square

9                           11955 Freedom Drive

10                          Reston, Virginia 20190-5675

11                          571.203.2700

12                                   -and-

13                          NAVEEN MODI, ESQ.

14                          Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett

15                          & Dunner, LLP

16                          901 New York Avenue, N.W.

17                          Washington, D.C. 20005

18                          202.408.4000

19                          naveen.modi@finnegan.com

20

21           ALSO PRESENT:

22                           Cynthia J. Conforti, Court Reporter

Henderson Legal Services. Inc.

1 MR. PALYS: Hi, this is Joseph  
2 Palys and Naveen Modi from Finnegan.

3 THE REPORTER: Hi, this is the  
4 court reporter, Cynthia Conforti, from  
5 Henderson.

6 JUDGE COCKS: Good afternoon.  
7 This is Judge Cocks. I have on the line Judges  
8 Rice and Floyd.

9 May I ask who else is on the line  
10 beginning with the Petitioner?

11 MR. PALYS: Good afternoon, your  
12 Honor. This is Joseph Palys and Naveen Modi  
13 from Finnegan for Petitioner.

14 JUDGE COCKS: All right. Thank  
15 you. And for the Patent Owner?

16 MR. RICHETTI: HI, your Honor.  
17 This is Joseph Richetti for TPK.

18 JUDGE COCKS: Okay. All right.  
19 And I understand we have a court reporter on  
20 the line?

21 THE REPORTER: Yes, your Honor,  
22 Cynthia Conforti with Henderson Legal Services.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

1 JUDGE COCKS: All right. Thank  
2 you. Very good.

3 All right. This is a follow-up  
4 conference call, and the parties reviewed  
5 2014-00541 and related cases 2013-00567 and  
6 00568.

7 We had a conference call last week  
8 and discussed the two issues. The second of  
9 those issues, which was directed to Wintek's  
10 Motion For Joinder of the 2014-00541 case, to  
11 either one of both of the other cases, the  
12 Board would like to seek some clarification  
13 from the parties.

14 We have considered the Ariosa  
15 case, I believe it's pronounced, that was  
16 referenced in the prior call, and we have  
17 considered also the Panel's approach in that  
18 case which dealt with a somewhat similar fact  
19 pattern as here.

20 So we wanted to I guess clarify  
21 with both parties, and particularly the Patent  
22 Owner, what is their position as to expediting

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.