UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COL., LTD.

Petitioners

v.

MICROGRAFX, LLC Patent Owner

CASE IPR2014-00532 Patent 5,959,633

DECLARATION OF GARRY KITCHEN IN RESPONSE TO THE DECISION OF THE INSTITUTION OF IPR REGARDING PATENT 5,959,633

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION			4
	A. Scope of Report		4	
	B.	Qua	alifications	4
		1.	Education	4
		2.	Professional Experience	5
		3.	Publications	10
	C.	Coı	mpensation	11
II.	AN	ALY	SIS	11
	A.	The	e '633 Patent	11
	В.	B. The Proposed Construction of the Term "external shape stored outside the computer program"		
	C.	Wa	lton Fails to Anticipate the Claims of the '633 Patent	16
		1.	Overview of Walton	16
		2.	Walton cannot anticipate claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, and 15 because it does not disclose "an external shape stored outside the computer program".	17
		3.	Walton cannot anticipate claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, and 15 because it does not disclose a "computer program [further] operable to: delegate the production of a graphical image of the external shape to the external capabilities"	22
		4.	In Summary	28
	D.		e challenge claims of the '633 patent would not have been vious over Eick in view of Kruglinski	29
		1.	Overview of Eick	29
		2.	Overview of Kruglinski	35
		3.	Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, and 15 would not have been obvious over Eick in view of Kruglinski because Eick does not disclose external shapes having external capabilities	36
		4.	Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, and 15 would not have been obvious over Eick in view of Kruglinski because Eick teaches away from external libraries.	39



	5. In Summary	41
	E. Patent Owner's Motion to Amend the Claims of the '633 Patent	43
Ш	CONCLUSION	40



I, Garry Kitchen, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of Report

- 1. I, Garry Kitchen, have been retained as a technical expert in the above-captioned case. Specifically, I have been asked to render certain opinions in regards to the PTAB's decision on the IPR petition regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,959,633 (the '633 Patent). I understand that the PTAB instituted IPR on Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, and 15 of the '633 Patent. My opinions are limited to those challenged Claims.
- 2. My conclusions are summarized in Section III at the end of this declaration.
- 3. In reaching my opinions in this matter, I have reviewed the Petition, the '633 Patent, the file history of the '633 Patent, the Declaration of Dr. Anselmo Lastra regarding the '633 Patent, U.S. Patent No. 5,883,639 (Walton); U.S. Patent No. 5,564,048 (Eick); excerpts of David J. Kruglinski, *Inside Visual C++* (2nd ed., Ver. 1.5, 1994); the PTAB's Institution Decision, and other materials cited or discussed herein. I also relied upon my education and experience as a person of ordinary skill in the art.

B. Qualifications

1. Education



4. I am an engineer, video game designer and consultant. I received a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering in 1980 from Fairleigh Dickinson University, where I was awarded membership in the Eta Kappa Nu Honor Society, the Electrical Engineering and Computer Engineering Honor Society of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE). As an Electrical Engineering student, I was twice chosen to receive the Engineering Merit Scholarship from Panasonic / Matsushita Corporation of Japan, one of the largest consumer electronics companies in the world.

2. <u>Professional Experience</u>

- 5. My career in the electronic entertainment/video game industry includes over 30 years of experience running game development companies, with significant hands-on technical and creative experience in all game genres, including console, PC retail and download, online, mobile, and dedicated electronic. I have been directly involved in the design of hundreds of commercially-released video game products, across a breadth of hardware platforms, from the earliest Atari machine through the present day Apple iPhone. I have personally developed video game software products that have generated career sales in excess of \$350 million.
- 6. In 1979, while still in engineering school, I invented and developed the handheld electronic game *Bank Shot* for Parker Brothers, named one of the "10



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

