### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC. Petitioners

v.

IPR LICENSING, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00525 U.S. Patent No.: 8,380,244

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and BEVERLY M. BUNTING, Administrative Patent Judges.

### JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. ("Petitioner") and IPR Licensing, Inc. ("Patent Owner"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- On September 17, 2014, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a Scheduling Order in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244 (IPR2014-00525) setting forth "due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding." Paper No. 20.
- 2. The Scheduling Order further states that the "parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5." *Id.*
- The parties previously agreed to stipulate to amended due dates in a Joint Stipulation amending DUE DATES 1 through 4 filed on September 17, 2014. Paper No. 21.
- 4. The parties herby stipulate to newly amended DUE DATES 1 through
  4 listed below. These newly amended DUE DATES supersede all
  previously stipulated DUE DATES 1 through 4.
- This stipulation does not affect or otherwise modify the dates for DUE DATES 5, 6 and 7 listed in the Scheduling Order.

Patent Owner and Petitioner hereby stipulate to the newly amended DUE DATES 1 through 4 as follows:

Document	Stipulated Due Date
<ul> <li>DUE DATE 1</li> <li>(a) Patent Owner's Response to Petition</li> <li>(b) Patent Owner's Motion to Amend the Patent</li> </ul>	January 6, 2015
<ul> <li>DUE DATE 2</li> <li>(a) Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response to Petition</li> <li>(b) Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend the Patent</li> </ul>	March 9, 2015
DUE DATE 3 Patent Owner's Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend the Patent	April 6, 2015
<ul> <li>DUE DATE 4</li> <li>(a) Motions for an Observation on Cross-Examination Testimony of a Reply Witness</li> <li>(b) Motions to Exclude Evidence and Request Oral Argument</li> </ul>	April 24, 2015

Dated: December 9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Charles M. McMahon

Charles M. McMahon Registration No. 44,926 Attorney for Petitioners ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc.

# **Brinks Gilson & Lione**

NBC Tower, Suite 3600 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, IL 60611-5599

**Telephone**: (312) 321-4200 **Fax**: (312) 321-4299

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing JOINT STIPULATION

## TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER has been served in its entirety on

December 9, 2014, by electronic mail on the following counsel of record for Patent

Owner IPR Licensing, Inc.:

DOCKET

Δ

Lead Counsel	Backup Counsel
Jonathan D. Link	Julie M. Holloway
Reg. No. 41,548	Reg. No. 44,769
Latham & Watkins LLP	Latham & Watkins LLP
555 11th Street, NW	505 Montgomery Street
Suite 1000	Suite 2000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304	San Francisco, California 94111-6538
Telephone: (202) 637-2200	Telephone: (415) 391-0600
Facsimile: (202) 637-2201	Facsimile: (415) 395-8095
E-mail: jonathan.link@lw.com	E-mail: julie.holloway@lw.com

/s/ Charles M. McMahon Charles M. McMahon