## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC., and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Petitioners

v.

IPR LICENSING, INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2014-00525<sup>1</sup> U.S. Patent No.: 8,380,244

\_\_\_\_\_

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and BEVERLY M. BUNTING, Administrative Patent Judges.

### JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case IPR2015-00074 has been joined with this proceeding.



ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. ("ZTE") and Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") (collectively "Petitioners") and IPR Licensing, Inc. ("InterDigital" or "Patent Owner"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. On September 17, 2014, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a Scheduling Order in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244 (IPR2014-00525) setting forth "due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding." Paper No. 20.
- 2. The Scheduling Order further states that the "parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5." *Id*.
- 3. To accommodate post-trial briefing in a related case and the

  Thanksgiving holiday, the parties stipulated to amended due dates in a

  Joint Stipulation filed on September 17, 2014 amending DUE DATES

  1 through 4. Paper No. 21.
- 4. To accommodate a mutually agreeable date for the deposition of Petitioners' expert (Dr. Bims) and the potential joinder of Microsoft's petition in IPR2015-00074, the parties agreed to newly amended due dates and filed a Joint Stipulation on December 9, 2015 amending DUE DATES 1 through 4. Paper No. 24.



- 5. On December 19, 2014, Patent Owner cross-examined Petitioners' expert, and on DUE DATE 1, Patent Owner filed its Patent Owner Response. Paper 25.
- 6. In order to accommodate Patent Owner's expert's (Dr. Stark)

  deposition on a mutually agreeable date, the parties agreed to hold Dr.

  Stark's deposition on Saturday, February 28, 2015 and extend DUE

  DATE 2 to March 17, 2015. ZTE filed its Notice of Deposition of Dr.

  Stark on February 13, 2015 in compliance with the rules. Paper 27.
- 7. On Thursday, February 26, counsel for InterDigital informed ZTE that one of its attorneys became ill and the deposition could not go forward on Saturday, February 28 as scheduled. Accordingly, the parties agreed to postpone the deposition to a mutually agreeable date and extend DUE DATE 2 accordingly. The parties are seeking a mutually agreeable date so that Dr. Stark's deposition may occur on or before March 27th.
- 8. On Wednesday, March 4, 2015, the Board issued its decision to join IPR2015-00074 with this proceeding. *See* IPR2015-00074, Paper 21. As part of its decision, the Board did not amend the Scheduling Order for the instant case, IPR2014-00525. *Id*.



- 9. Once the parties determine a mutually agreeable date for Dr. Stark's deposition, Petitioners will file a new Notice of Deposition. In the meantime, the parties have agreed to extend DUE DATE 2 to April 7, 2015 and DUE DATE 3 to April 24, 2015.
- 10. These newly amended DUE DATES supersede all previously stipulated DUE DATES 2 through 4.
- 11. This stipulation does not affect or otherwise modify the dates for DUE DATES 5, 6 and 7 listed in the Scheduling Order.

Patent Owner and Petitioners hereby stipulate to newly amended DUE DATES 2 and 3 and lists the previously stipulated DUE DATE 4:

Document	Stipulated Due Date
DUE DATE 2  (a) Petitioners' Reply to Patent    Owner's Response to Petition  (b) Petitioners' Opposition to Patent    Owner's Motion to Amend the    Patent	April 7, 2015
DUE DATE 3 Patent Owners' Reply to Petitioners' Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend the Patent	April 24, 2015



Document	Stipulated Due Date
DUE DATE 4  (a) Motions for an Observation on Cross-Examination Testimony of a Reply Witness  (b) Motions to Exclude Evidence and Request Oral Argument	April 24, 2015

Dated: March 6, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles M. McMahon

Charles M. McMahon Registration No. 44,926 Attorney for Petitioners ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc.

Brinks Gilson & Lione NBC Tower, Suite 3600 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, IL 60611-5599

**Telephone**: (312) 321-4200

Fax: (312) 321-4299



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

