Filed on behalf of: IPR LICENSING, INC.

Entered: January 6, 2015

By: Jonathan D. Link LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 11th Street, NW, Ste. 1000 Washington, DC 20004-1304 Telephone: (202) 637-2200 Facsimile: (202) 637-2201 E-mail: jonathan.link@lw.com

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA) INC. Petitioner

V.

IPR LICENSING, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00525 Patent 8,380,244

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and BEVERLY M. BUNTING, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

## **DECLARATION OF DR. WAYNE E. STARK**

IPR Licensing, Inc. Exhibit 2005



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

I.	INTRODUCTION1				
II.	QUALIFICATIONS				
III.	SCOPE OF ENGAGEMENT4				
IV.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS				
V.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES				
	A.	Antici	ipation5		
	B.	Obvic	ousness6		
VI.	U.S. PATENT NO. 8,380,244, THE DUAL-MODE PATENT				
	А.	Backg	ground and Overview of the '244 Patent		
	B.	Relev	ant Prosecution History and Cited Prior Art16		
	C.	Level	of Ordinary Skill in the Art20		
	D. Date of Invention				
			Construction		
			ity of the '244 Patent in View of the Asserted Prior Art25		
		1.	Jawanda, in Combination with GPRS, Fails to Disclose a "Plurality of Assigned Physical Channels"		
		2.	Jawanda, through its mention of CDMA, CDPD, and GPRS, does not inherently disclose "a plurality of assigned physical channels"		
		3.	Jawanda, alone or in combination with GPRS, does not disclose maintaining a "logical connection" with the cellular network		

	4.	The asserted GPRS documents do not teach maintaining a PDP context in an absence of assigned physical channels	40	
		channels	49	
G.	Clain	n 8	52	
H.	Lack	of Motivation to Combine	53	
I.	Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness			
	1.	Licensing by others/industry acquiescence	55	
	2.	Skepticism, failure of others, and long felt but unsolved need	56	
	3.	Commercial success	57	
	4.	Unexpected results	58	
	5.	Teaching away	59	
	6.	Lack of simultaneous invention	59	
J.	Supplementation			

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Dr. Wayne E. Stark. I have been retained by InterDigital Communications, Inc., InterDigital Technology Corporation, IPR Licensing, Inc., and InterDigital Holdings, Inc. (collectively, "InterDigital") and have been asked to prepare this declaration (the "Declaration") in connection with the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244 (this "IPR").

2. I have been asked to investigate and opine on issues relating to the validity of U.S. Patent No. 8,380,244 (the "244 Patent"), including the Petition filed by ZTE and the Declaration of Dr. Harry Bims in support of that Petition.

3. I expect to be available for deposition and available to testify at the evidentiary hearing in this IPR. I expressly reserve the right to offer opinions at the evidentiary hearing and/or in one or more supplemental reports on subjects raised in my deposition, as well as on subjects raised in the deposition of ZTE's expert or by ZTE's expert at the evidentiary hearing.

4. This Declaration is based on information currently available to me. I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study—including but not limited to reviewing documents and information produced or identified subsequent to this Declaration. I further reserve the right to expand, modify, and/or supplement my opinions as my investigation and study continues in response to any additional information that becomes available to me, any matters raised by ZTE, and/or

opinions provided by ZTE's expert(s), or in light of any relevant orders from the PTAB, or any other individual or authoritative body.

5. Throughout this Declaration, I may cite to certain documents or testimony that support my opinions. These citations are non-exhaustive examples. Citation to documents or testimony is not intended to signify that my conclusions or opinions are limited by or based solely on the cited sources.

6. I reserve the right to use animations, demonstratives, demonstrations, enlargements of real exhibits, physical evidence, and other devices at the evidentiary hearing in this Investigation.

## **II. QUALIFICATIONS**

7. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in 1978, a Master of Science in 1979 and a Ph.D. in 1982, all in Electrical Engineering, and all from the University of Illinois. Since that time I have been at the University of Michigan where I am a Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.

8. I received the Presidential Young Investigator Award in 1985 from the National Science Foundation.

9. I was named a Fellow of the IEEE in 1997 for contributions to coding and modulation for spread-spectrum systems. I received the 2002 Military Communications Conference (MILCOM) Technical Achievement Award.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.