1	ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman
2	
3	REALTIME AND INTERACTIVE REALTIME TRANSCRIPT ROUGH DRAFT DISCLAIMER
4	I MPORTANT NOTI CE: AGREEMENT OF PARTI ES
5	We, the party working with realtime and rough
6	draft transcripts understand that if we choose to use the realtime rough draft screen
7	or the printout, that we are doing so with the understanding that the rough draft is a
8	noncerti fi ed copy.
9	We further agree not to share, give, copy,
10	scan or fax or in any way distribute this realtime rough draft in any form (written or computerized) to any party. However, your
11	own experts' cocounsel and staff may have limited internal use of same with the
12	understanding that we agree to destroy your real time rough draft and/or any computerized
13	form, if any, and replace it with the final transcript upon its completion.
14	Case: Rembrandt vs. Samsung Date: November 19, 2014
15	REPORTER' S NOTE:
16	Since this deposition has been realtimed and
17	is in rough draft form, please be aware that there may be a discrepancy regarding page and line number when comparing the realtime
18	screen, the rough draft, rough draft disk,
19	and the final transcript.
20	Also please be aware that the realtime screen and the noncertified rough draft transcript
21	may contain untranslated steno, reporter's notes in double parenthesis, misspelled
22	proper names, incorrect or missing Q/A symbols or punctuation, and/or nonsensical
23	English word combinations. All such entries will be correct on the final certified
24	transcript.
25	Court Reporter's Name: Michelle A. Cox Firm Name: Sound Depo
1	ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today's
3	November 19, 2014. The time is approximately
4	9:11. This is the continuing deposition of

Page 1

f

 2

Goodman, David 11-19-14 ROUGH Transcript (CONF).txt 5 Dr. David Goodman. We are on the record at this time. 6 7 If you want to re-swear or reaffirm the 8 witness, please. 9 DAVID GOOMAN, called as a witness, having been duly 10 sworn by a Notary Public, was examined and 11 testified as follows: 12 EXAMINATION BY 13 MR. ENGER: 14 Q Dr. Goodman, could i direct your attention 15 to Exhibit 2 OF your non-infringement report. 16 А Which is my copy? 17 Okay. Sorry. Q Paragraph 51, please. Paragraph 51 of 18 19 your non-infringement report contains the 20 results of what you call your first test; is 21 that fair? 22 А Yes. 23 Q And during the first test, each of the 24 Samsung S3 and Note 3 devices were connected to 25 each of an EDR enabled Bluetooth headset and a 1 ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman 2 non-EDR enabled Bluetooth headset and the power 3 usage was measured over the course of a 4 ten-minute cellular phone call, right? 5 А Yes. Q The phone was using both Bluetooth and 6 7 cellular, correct? 8 А Yes. Bluetooth -- yes that's correct. 9 0 Was -- for the cellular parts was it using three 3G or 4G? 10 Page 2

3

f

OCKE.

RM

	Goodman, David 11-19-14 ROUGH Transcript (CONF).txt
11	MR. MILLER: Objection; form.
12	A I don't know.
13	Q And it was also using Wi-Fi?
14	A The Wi-Fi was on. I don't know that there
15	was any Wi-Fi communication going on.
16	Q So there could have been Wi-Fi
17	communication, there could not have been
18	communication you just don't know?
19	A I that's correct.
20	Q How was it decided to perform a ten-minute
21	test?
22	A I felt that the power drain wouldn't
23	change from more than if the test went on
24	longer than ten minutes. They were suggesting
25	a much longer test, and I felt that wasn't
1	ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman
2	necessary, that just my own professional
3	judgment, that ten minutes would be sufficient
4	
4	to find out information we needed. We wanted
5	to find out information we needed. We wanted to find or we wanted to learn, which was what
5	to find or we wanted to learn, which was what
5 6	to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power
5 6 7	to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets.
5 6 7 8	to find or we wanted to Learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation
5 6 7 8 9	to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation represent the normal use of a cell phone during
5 6 7 8 9 10	to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation represent the normal use of a cell phone during battery charges?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation represent the normal use of a cell phone during battery charges? A I don't have any information on the normal</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation represent the normal use of a cell phone during battery charges? A I don't have any information on the normal use of phone. It's probably my use of a phone.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>to find or we wanted to learn, which was what would be the difference in the power consumption with these two different headsets. Q Now, does ten minutes of operation represent the normal use of a cell phone during battery charges? A I don't have any information on the normal use of phone. It's probably my use of a phone. I might have a few phone calls two-minute phone</pre>

4

Page 3

Ŷ

16	Goodman, David 11-19-14 ROUGH Transcript (CONF).txt Q Most people don't charge their cell phone
17	every ten minutes?
18	A No. Maybe some people talking for longer
19	than ten minutes and some people don't talk at
20	all during the day. Me, I just have a brief
21	couple of phone calls.
22	Q How was the power usage measured in the
23	power testing?
24	A As I sitting here I don't recall I read
25	the specifications of a power measurement
1	ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman
2	device that was used by NTS. So I saw I
3	think I was directed to a website, but I I'm
4	totally blank, blank as to a company that
5	produced it. The one thing that did stick
6	to in my mind was very meticulous
7	instructions about how to connect the powerless
8	device to the telephone because it's a little
9	tricky. You have your battery there and the
10	battery is being drained of power, or power is
11	being consumed from to the battery. And
12	somehow you have to take the battery out and
13	put something else in and connect it in.
14	So they gave meticulous instructions on
15	how to do that.
16	Q You didn't cite the website that tells you
17	how to use the equipment in your report, did
18	you?
19	A I did not.
20	Q You said you do not know what equipment
21	was used to perform the power testing? Page 4

5

Ŷ

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Goodman, David 11-19-14 ROUGH Transcript (CONF).txt
22	MR. MILLER: Objection; form.
23	A I don't remember.
24	Q Was the battery removed to test the power?
25	A I don't remember. I don't remember.
1	ROUGH DRAFT - Goodman
2	Q Can you describe the configuration of the
3	power meter relative to the device under test?
4	A As I recall the power meter was a lot
5	bigger than device under test. And it was
6	connected by some LEEDS or wires to the device.
7	That's as much as I remember.
8	Q Do you recall if the power meter was
9	connected in series or in parallel with the
10	battery?
11	A I don't I don't recall.
12	Q Was the battery the only source of power
13	for S3 and Note3 devices under test?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Were the S3 and the Note devices connected
16	to any other equipment during the test?
17	A Other than what's described here in the
18	power meter?
19	Q Yes, sir.
20	A Not to my knowledge.
21	Q There's nothing connected via the USB
22	port?
23	A Not to my knowledge.
24	Q How often were measurements made during
25	your tests?

6

7

Ŷ

Page 5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.