

Transcript of **PHILIP J. KOOPMAN, JR., Ph.D.**

Date: January 13, 2015

Case: SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO, LTD, ET AL v. REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP(PTAB)

Planet Depos, LLC Phone: 888-433-3767

Fax: 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com
Internet: www.planetdepos.com

Court Reporting | Videography | Videoconferencing | Interpretation | Transcription



Samsung Ex. 1319 Samsung v. Rembrandt IPR2014-00519

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

APPEARANCES
BEHALF OF PETITIONERS:
EFFREY A. MILLER, ESQUIRE
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1841 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, California 94304
(650) 690-9500
(000) 070 7500
DAN CARDY, ESQUIRE
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 420-2200
ARANCES CONTINUED
ALF OF PATENT OWNER AND DR. KOOPMAN
RGE S. HAIGHT, IV, ESQUIRE
PER HAMILTON LLP
High Street
Floor - High Street Tower
on, Massachusetts 02110
204-5100
RESENT:
ael Murphy, Videographer



2 (Pages 5 to 8)

			Z (Lages 5 to 0		
	5		7		
1	CONTENTS	1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	EXAMINATION OF PHILIP J. KOOPMAN, JR., Ph.D. PAGE	2	VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins tape		
3	By Mr. Miller 8	3	number one in the videotape deposition of Philip		
4	By Mr. Haight 256	4	Koopman, in the matter of Samsung Electronics		
5		5	Company, LTD, et al. versus Rembrandt Wireless		
6		6	Technologies, LP, et al. Filed in the United		
7	EXHIBITS	7	States Patent and Trademark Office Case Nos.		
8	(Attached to transcript)	8	IPR2014-00518 and IPR2014-00519.		
9	KOOPMAN DEPOSITION EXHIBIT PAGE	9	Today's date is Tuesday, January 13th,		
10	Exhibits 1232 and 1320 Data Network Evaluation 41	10	2015, and the time on the video monitor is 8:58		
11	Criteria Handbook	11	a.m.		
12	Exhibits 1233 and 1321 Koopman Patent 192	12	The videographer today is Michael		
13	5,450,404	13	Murphy representing Planet Depos. This video		
14	Exhibits 1234 and 1322 Koopman Patent 194	14	deposition is being taking place at 500 Grant		
15	5,436,901	15	Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.		
16	Exhibits 1235 and 1323 Koopman Patent 195	16	Will all counsel please voice identify		
17	5,535,212	17	yourselves.		
18	Exhibits 1236 and 1324 Innovative Biometric 252	18	MR. MILLER: Hi. This is Jeffrey		
19	Technology v Toshiba	19	Miller representing the Samsung petitioners in the		
20	America Information	20	IPR proceedings. With me is Daniel Cardy.		
21	Systems and Authetec	21	MR. HAIGHT: George Haight on		
22	order	22	behalf of Rembrandt Wireless Technologies. The		
	6		8		
1	EXHIBITS CONTINUED	1	witness Dr. Koopman.		
2	KOOPMAN DEPOSITION EXHIBIT PAGE	2	VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter		
3	Exhibits 1237 and 1325 Innovative 253	3	today is Connie Lee representing Planet Depos.		
4		4	Would you please swear in the witness.		
5	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBIT PAGE	5	PHILIP J. KOOPMAN, JR.		
6	Exhibits 2208 and 2302 Declaration of Dr. 11	6	a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,		
7	Philip Koopman, Ph.D.	7	was examined and testified as follows:		
8	Exhibit 2209 and 2303 Embedded Communication 31	8	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER		
9	Protocal Options	9	BY MR. MILLER:		
10	Exhibits 1218 and 1317 Declaration of Jon 25	10	Q. Good morning, Dr. Koopman.		
11	Mears	11	A. Good morning.		
12		12	Q. Thank you for being here this morning.		
13		13	I'd like to start off by finding out whether or not		
14		14	you've actually ever had your deposition taken before?		
15		15	A. Yes, I have.		
16		16	Q. About how many times?		
17		17	A. I'd have to check my CV for an exact		
18		18	number, but round number is ten.		
19		19	Q. Ten. So you know the general ground		
20		20	rules?		
21		21	A. I do.		
22		2.2	Q. So I'll I'll just reiterate the ones		



3 (Pages 9 to 12)

			2 (- 0.900 0 00 -		
	9		11		
1	that I find most important.	1	A. I understand.		
2	A. Okay.	2	Q. Great.		
3	Q. The first is, is that while I've never	3	So I'm going to start by have		
4	worked with Connie, our court reporter, I'm sure she's	4	providing you with copies of the declarations you		
5	terrific. But as great as I'm sure she is, there's no	5	filed or that you prepared in each of the IPR		
6	way she's going to be able to take down every word if	6	proceedings that we're here to discuss today.		
7	everyone in the room is talking over each other. And	7	The first, which was filed in the IPR		
8	so I'd would appreciate it, and I'll do my best at	8	2014-00518 proceeding was marked as Exhibit 2208. And		
9	this too, is if I'm speaking, if you can let me finish	9	the second was filed in the IPR proceeding case number		
10	and I'll do my best to let you finish as well. Is	10	IPR 2014-00519, and that was marked as Exhibit 2302.		
11	that okay?	11	(Exhibits 2208 and 2302 were		
12	A. Okay. No problem.	12	entered for reference.)		
13	Q. Also, we this deposition is being	13	MR. HAIGHT: Thank you.		
14	taking being taken for two different inter partes	14	Q. Have you had a chance to look at those		
15	review proceedings, which I'm sure you understand;	15	two different exhibits?		
16	right?	16	(Witness reviews document.)		
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Yes, these look like the two reports I		
18	Q. And so the very nature of that is going	18	filed.		
19	to require that sometimes we we have two different	19	Q. Thank you.		
20	exhibits that are oftentimes the same that are being	20	Can you tell me if there are any		
21	numbered differently. And I know that's a little	21	differences substantively between the two reports?		
22	confusing. But we're we're going to have to work	22	A. I I think there's a footnote that		
	10		12		
1	with each other on that. Is that okay?	1	somehow ended up in one or the other, but I		
2	A. Sure. As long as I understand when	2	there I don't intend there'd be any substantive		
3	two documents are supposed to be the same, then	3	differences that I recall.		
4	I'll be fine.	4	Q. Okay. Other than the case number and		
5	Q. All right. That's in both of our	5	maybe the footnote?		
6	interests, so we'll do our best on that.	6	A. Case number, stuff like that. Yeah.		
7	Are you under is there any reason that	7	Q. Okay.		
8	we should be aware of that would prevent you from	8	A. I don't I as I sit here, I can't		
9	testifying truthfully today?	9	recall any substantive difference.		
10	A. I I should be fine.	10	Q. Okay. Just so our lives are a little bit		
11	Q. And one more thing, I'm I'm going to	11	easier today, what I'd like you to be able to do is		
12	be asking you questions today, obviously you've seen	12	use Exhibit 2208; and if it's okay with you, I'd like		
13	this process before. And I'll do my best to ask	13	to just use that one today so that we don't have to		
14	questions that are clear and make sense. But if I ask	14	reask the same question over and over again for the		
15	you a question that you don't understand, could you	15	other declaration.		
16	please let me know, and I'll try to ask it a different	16	A. Sure, that's fine with we. If for		
17	way.	17	some reason something I'm not thinking of comes to		
18	A. Sure.	18	mind, I'll let you know but		
19	Q. You under I want you to understand	19	Q. Thank you.		
20	that if you answer a question, every everyone is	20	A as far as I know, we can just talk		
21	going to be be under the belief that you understood	21	about one and that should cover everything.		



the question. Is that -- do you understand that?

22

Q. Okay. And I'll try to identify both.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

		13			15
1	A.	I'll I'll assume you mean both.	1	Q. And	before you started work on this case,
2	Q.	Yeah.	2	had you ever	heard of this guy?
3	A.	Okay, no problem.	3	A. No.	
4	Q.	So if you could turn to Exhibit 2208,	4	Q. Tha	t guy being Mr. Bremer?
5	which is	s also 2302, I have a couple of we're going	5	A. Mr.	Bremer, right.
6	to be sp	ending a lot of time in this document today,	6	_	y. Thank you.
7	obvious	ly. But I'd to actually like to start by	7		now you said you did some work this
8	_	at Paragraph 1.	8		hat done in preparation for your
9	A.	Okay.	9	deposition to	day?
10	Q.	The first Paragraph, it starts off saying	10	A. Yes	•
11		've been retained by the patent owner,	11		you tell us what you did to prepare
12	Rembra	ndt.	12	for your depo	
13	A.	Yes.	13		ent a couple hours reading
14	Q.	Do you see that?	14		n Monday night, and then I had a meeting
15		Do you know when you were retained?	15		ternoon, evening for a few hours.
16	A.	I looked it up, as I recall, it was	16	Q. Was	s that meeting with Mr. Haight?
17	about t	hree months ago.	17	A. Yes	•
18	Q.	Do you recall who reached out to you?	18		at documents did you review to prepare?
19	A.	Oh, boy. I don't remember. There	19		oked at my declaration and went
20	there were several people involved. I don't		20		at the patents. I looked through some
21	remem	ber who this was, the first phone call was.	21	-	ne other things, you know, I don't
22	Q.	I saw in your CV though it looks like you	22	if if the list	t is important, I can try to
		14			16
1	do do so	ome expert witness things, so it's not	1	remember,	but it was mostly it was mostly the
2	wasn't s	omething that came completely out of the blue;	2	documents -	- some of the documents that are in my
3	right?		3	appendix of	materials. So I looked at the patents.
4	A.	It was out of the blue in terms of it	4	I looked at a	a couple pages of Dr. Goodman's I
5	being a	cold call.	5	think it was	his deposition. I know I looked at
6	Q.	Yeah.	6	his declarati	ion as well. I read my article again.
7	Α.	But you know the I I do not	7	And I may l	nave looked at some of the other stuff.
8	publicly	advertise by expert witness, but as a	8	I don't recal	ll the whole list.
9	professo	or university, most of us get these calls	9	Q. Do	you remember what pages of
1,0	all the t	ime, so it was nothing unusual to be	10	Dr. Goodma	n's deposition you looked at?
11	called.		11	A. I	I don't remember. I mean, I
12	Q.	Okay. And from the time that you've been	12	at one point	I read the whole thing, just not this
13	retained	up until you this dec these two	13	week.	
14	declarat	ions were prepared, about how much time did	14	Q. Die	l you ever it's not listed here, but
15	you put	into this case?	15	I'm just won	dering. Did you ever look at any of the
16	A.	It was about 45 hours excluding this	16	expert report	s that were prepared in the litigation
17	week. S	So let me say it a different way. I I	17	that's going of	on between Rembrandt and Samsung?
18	billed fo	or about 45 hours and and haven't done	18	A. I ha	ave not seen those well, let
19	this wee	k yet.	19	me let me	clarify that. I I think I looked
20	Q.	Have you ever talked to the inventor of	20	at a couple j	paragraphs from Dr. Akl's report,
21	the '580	patent?	21	A-K-L, which	ch were summarizing our phone



A. Not that I can recall.

22

conversation. But beyond that, I have not looked

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

