

By: Thomas Engellenner  
Pepper Hamilton LLP  
125 High Street  
19th Floor, High Street Tower  
Boston, MA 02110  
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)  
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.;  
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC; and  
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC;  
Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2014-00518  
Patent 8,023,580

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.120**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iv
UPDATED TABLE OF EXHIBITS.....	v
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE '580 PATENT .....	2
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	5
A. Legal Standard.....	5
B. A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	6
C. Claim Construction .....	8
1. "First Modulation Method" And "Second Modulation Method" .....	8
2. "At Least Two Types Of Modulation Methods" .....	11
3. "Master" And "Slave".....	16
IV. SUMMARY OF ALLEGED PRIOR ART .....	19
A. Admitted Prior Art ("APA") .....	19
B. U.S. Patent No. 5,706,428 Of Boer ("Boer").....	19
C. The Upender/Koopman Article .....	21
V. LEGAL STANDARDS .....	21
A. Petitioner Has The Burden Of Proof.....	21
B. Legal Standard For Obviousness.....	22
C. Each Prior Art Reference Must Be Considered <i>In Its Entirety</i> .....	24
VI. ARGUMENTS .....	25
A. Dr. Goodman's Declaration Regarding Motivation To Combine The Alleged APA With Boer Is Unreliable .....	25
1. Dr. Goodman Failed To Fully Consider The Upender/Koopman Article As A Whole.....	25
2. Dr. Goodman Has No Understanding Of The Conclusion Reached In The Article .....	28
3. Dr. Goodman's Reasoning Is Flawed Because " Simplicity and Determinacy " Are At Odds With " Flexibility and Efficiency " .....	29

4.	Dr. Goodman’s Declaration Is Unreliable Because It Is Unclear What Level Of Skill He Equated With One Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	32
B.	Dr. Koopman, The Coauthor Of The Article, Testified That The Teachings Of Upender/Koopman Do Not Support Combination Of The Alleged APA with Boer.....	32
1.	Combining Boer And The Alleged APA Would Reduce Flexibility And Efficiency .....	35
2.	There Are Fundamental Tradeoffs Between Master/Slave Polling And CSMA/CA Protocols Which Teach Away From Using Master/Slave In Boer .....	38
C.	Boer Also Teaches Away From a Master/Slave Configuration.....	40
D.	The Petition Fails To Prove The Alleged Prior Art Discloses Using At Least Two Types Of Modulation Methods .....	44
1.	The Intrinsic Record Demonstrates That Simply Degrading Performance By Utilizing Fewer Bits Per Symbol Is Not What The ’580 Patent Means By “Two Types of Modulation Methods” .....	45
2.	DBPSK and DQPSK Cannot Be Incompatible With One Another Within The Meaning Of The ’580 Patent.....	46
3.	DBPSK And DQPSK Are Both Phase Modulation Techniques Within The Same Family .....	47
4.	PPM As Used In Boer’s PPM/DQPSK Is Not A Modulation Method .....	48
5.	PPM/DQPSK And DBPSK Are Both Phase Modulation Techniques And Not In Different Families .....	53
E.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Rendered Obvious By The Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer .....	53
1.	The Petition Does Not Prove The Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer Renders Independent Claim 1 Obvious .....	53
2.	The Petition Does Not Prove The Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer Renders Independent Claim 54 Obvious .....	56
3.	The Petition Does Not Prove The Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer Renders Dependent Claim 57 Obvious .....	57
4.	The Petition Does Not Prove The Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer Renders Claims 58, 61, 62, 66, 70, and 76-79 Obvious.....	57

5. The Petition Does Not Prove The Combination Of  
Alleged APA And Boer Renders Claim 66 Obvious..... 58

VII. CONCLUSION..... 58

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Novopharm Ltd.</i> , 323 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	14
<i>Advanced Fiber Techs. Trust v. J&amp;L Fiber Servs.</i> , 674 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	6, 13
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. AIP Acquisition, LLC</i> , 2014 WL 2364452 (Patent Tr. & App. Bd., May 27, 2014) .....	13
<i>Environmental Designs Ltd v. Union Oil</i> , 713 F.2d 693 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....	8
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. American-Maize Prods. Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) .....	23
<i>Hodosh v. Block Drug Co., Inc.</i> , 786 F.2d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....	25, 28
<i>In Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper No. 12 (July 31, 2013).....	23
<i>In re Grasselli</i> , 713 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....	25
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	23
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	6
<i>In re Wesslau</i> , 147 U.S.P.Q. 391 (C.C.P.A. 1965) .....	24
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGO Comm 's, Inc.</i> , 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8745 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2014) .....	23
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007) .....	22, 23
<i>Macronix Int'l v. Spansion LLC</i> , IPR2014-00106, Paper 13 (Apr. 24, 2014) .....	6, 13
<i>Motorola Mobility LLC, et al. v. Arendi S.A.R.L.</i> , IPR2014-00203, Paper No. 10 (June 5, 2014) .....	23

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.