

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP,	§ § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §	Case No. _____
Plaintiff,		
v.		
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, LLC; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC; SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC; RESEARCH IN MOTION, CORP. and RESEARCH IN MOTION, LTD,		<b>Jury Trial Requested</b>
Defendants.		

---

**REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES LP'S  
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Rembrandt Wireless Technologies LP (“Rembrandt” or “Plaintiff”) hereby submits this Complaint against Defendants Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, LLC, Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC, Research in Motion Corp., and Research in Motion Ltd. (collectively “Defendants”) and states as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Rembrandt is a Virginia limited partnership, having a principal place of business at 401 City Ave., Suite 900, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004.
2. Rembrandt is the assignee and owner of the patent at issue in this action, United States Patent No. 8,023,580 (“the ’580 Patent”).

Samsung Ex. 1002  
(Samsung v. Rembrandt)



3. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Republic of Korea, having a principal place of business at 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong, Seocho-gu, Seoul 137-857, Republic of Korea.

4. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Samsung Electronics America, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and is incorporated under the laws of New York, having a principal place of business at 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660.

5. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and is incorporated under the laws of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 1301 E. Lookout Dr., Richardson, Texas 75082.

6. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC a wholly-owned subsidiary of Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 12100 Samsung Boulevard, Austin, Texas 78754.

7. Rembrandt collectively refers herein to Defendants Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, LLC, Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC as “Samsung.”

8. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Research in Motion Corporation is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 122 West John Carpenter Parkway, Suite 430, Irving, TX 75039

9. Rembrandt is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Research in Motion Ltd. is a Canadian corporation with its principal place of business at 259 Phillip Street, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3W8 Canada.

10. Rembrandt collectively refers herein to Defendants Research in Motion Corp. and Research in Motion Ltd. as “Research in Motion.”

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*

12. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) in that Defendants have done business in this District, have committed acts of infringement in this District, and continue to commit acts of infringement in this District, entitling Rembrandt to relief.

### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,023,580**

13. On September 20, 2011, United States Patent No. 8,023,580 was duly and legally issued for inventions entitled “System and Method of Communication Using at Least Two Modulation Methods.” Rembrandt was assigned the ’580 Patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the ’580 Patent. A true and correct copy of the ’580 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

14. Upon information and belief, Samsung and Research in Motion have infringed directly and indirectly and continue to infringe directly and indirectly the ’580 Patent. The infringing acts include, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products practicing the following Bluetooth standards: Version 2.0 + EDR,

Version 2.1 + EDR, Version 3.0 + HS, and Version 4.0 (“Bluetooth Standards”). Each of these Bluetooth Standards supports Enhanced Data Rate (“EDR”) mode, thereby using at least two modulation methods.

15. Samsung’s Bluetooth Standards compliant products include but are not limited to: Galaxy S III, Galaxy S II, Galaxy S II Skyrocket, Galaxy Stellar, Conquer 4G, Focus 2, Galaxy Nexus, Galaxy Exhibit, Galaxy Proclaim, ATIV Odyssey, Galaxy Note II, Galaxy Note, Nexus 10, Galaxy Tab 2, Galaxy Tab, Galaxy Player 4.0, Galaxy Player 5.0, ES Series televisions with 3D support (*e.g.*, UN32ES6500, UN50ES6500, UN75ES9000), and D Series televisions with 3D support (*e.g.*, UN46D8000, UN55D8000, UN60D8000).

16. Research in Motion’s Bluetooth Standards compliant products include but are not limited to: BlackBerry Z10, BlackBerry Q10, BlackBerry Bold 9930/9900/9790, BlackBerry Torch 9860/9850/9810, BlackBerry Curve 9310/9370/9360/9350, and BlackBerry PlayBook.

17. Upon information and belief, at least as of the filing of this complaint, Defendants indirectly infringe one or more claims of the ’580 Patent by active inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendants have induced, caused, urged, encouraged, aided and abetted their direct and indirect customers to make, use, sell, offer for sale and/or import products which are interoperable according to the Bluetooth Standards and thereby infringe the ’580 Patent. Defendants have done so by acts including but not limited to selling products which are interoperable according to the Bluetooth Standards to their customers; marketing the infringing capabilities of such products; and providing instructions, technical support and other support and encouragement for the use of such products. Such conduct by Defendants was intended to and actually resulted in direct infringement, including the making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importation of infringing products in the United States.

18. The acts of infringement by Defendants have caused damage to Rembrandt, and Rembrandt is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Rembrandt as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. The infringement of Rembrandt's exclusive rights under the '580 Patent by Defendants has damaged and will continue to damage Rembrandt, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

19. Rembrandt intends to seek discovery on the issue of willfulness and reserves the right to seek a willfulness finding relative to pre-suit infringement and/or post-suit infringement of the '580 Patent.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

20. Rembrandt hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Rembrandt requests entry of judgment in its favor and against Defendants as follows:

- a) A declaration that Defendants have infringed and are infringing U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580;
- b) An Order permanently enjoining Defendants, their respective officers, agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further direct and/or indirect infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580;
- c) An award of damages to Rembrandt arising out of Defendants' infringement of the U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580, including enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.