# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD INTEL CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00498 Patent 6,853,142

ZOND LLC'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRO	DUCTION1
II.	TECH	NOLOGY BACKGROUND8
	A.	Overview Of Magnetron Sputtering Systems
	В.	The '142 patent: Dr. Chistyakov invents a new apparatus having i) means for ionizing a feed gas to form a weakly-ionized plasma, ii) means for supplying power to the weakly-ionized plasma by applying an electrical pulse across the weakly ionized plasma to generate a strongly ionized plasma, and iii) means for diffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow additional power to be absorbed by the strongly ionized plasma9
	C.	The Petitioner Mischaracterized The File History14
III	SUMI	MARY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR REVIEW17
IV	. PATE	NT OWNER'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS
	A.	The construction of "weakly ionized plasma" and "strongly ionized plasma"
	B.	The construction of "means for ionizing a feed gas"
	C.	The construction of "means for supplying power" (claim 40) and "means for applying an electric field" (claim 41)23
	D.	The construction of "means for diffusing" (claim 40)
V.		E IS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PETITIONER PREVAILING O A CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '142 PATENT27
	A.	The Petition failed to demonstrate any motivation to combine29
	1.	Scope and content of prior art
		a. Lantsman – U.S. Pat. No. 6,190,512 (Ex. 1406)32
		b. Kudryavtsev – A. A. Kudryavtsev and V.N. Skerbov, Ionization relaxation in a plasma produced by a pulsed inert-gas discharge, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 28(1), pp. 30-35, January 1983 (Ex. 1404)33
		c. Mozgrin – D.V. Mozgrin, et al, High-Current Low-Pressure Quasi-Stationary Discharge in a Magnetic Field: Experimental Research, Plasma Physics Reports, Vol. 21, No. 5, pp. 400-409, 1995 (Ex. 1403)



	d. Wang – U.S. Patent No. 6,413,382 (Exhibit 1405)37
2.	The Petitioner Fails To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine The DC Power System Without Pulses Of Lantsman With The Pulsed Power System Of Either Mozgrin or Wang
3.	The Petitioner Failed To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine The Cylindrical Tube System Without A Magnet Of Kudryavtsev With Either The Mozgrin or Wang Magnetron System45
В.	The Petition fails to demonstrate how the alleged combinations teach every element of the challenged claims
1.	The cited references do not teach "diffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow additional power to be absorbed by the strongly-ionized plasma," as recited in independent claim 40
C.	The Petition Failed to Identify Any Compelling Rationale for Adopting Redundant Grounds of Rejection Under Both Mozgrin and Wang54
VI CON	CLUSION



#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Board should deny the present request for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142 ("the '142 patent") because there is not a reasonable likelihood that the Petitioner will prevail at trial with respect to at least one claim of the '142 patent.<sup>1</sup>

Indeed, there are four different and independent groups of reasons why the Petitioner cannot prevail. First, the references that are primarily relied upon by the Petitioner (*i.e.*, Mozgrin and Wang) were already considered by the Examiner and overcome during the prosecution of the application that led to the issuance of the '142 patent. These references were considered by 6 different examiners and overcome during the prosecution of 9 other patents that are related to the '142 patent over nearly a 10 year period.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Examiners Douglas Owens, Tung X. Le, Rodney McDonald, Wilson Lee, Don Wong, and Tuyet T. Vo allowed U.S. Patents 7,147,759, 7,808,184, 7,811,421, 8,125,155, 6,853,142, 7,604,716, 6,896,775, 6,896,773, 6,805,779, and 6,806,652 over Mozgrin and Wang over nearly a decade from the time that the application for the '759 patent was filed on 9/30/2002 to the time that the '155 patent issued on 2/28/2012.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

Second, the Petitioner's obviousness rejections are all predicated on the false assumption that a skilled artisan could have achieved the combination of i) means for ionizing a feed gas to form a weakly-ionized plasma, ii) means for supplying power to the weakly-ionized plasma by applying an electrical pulse across the weakly ionized plasma, the electrical pulse having a magnitude and a rise-time that is sufficient to increase the density of the weakly-ionized plasma to generate a strongly ionized plasma, and iii) means for diffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow additional power to be absorbed by the strongly-ionized plasma, as required by independent claim 40 of the '142 patent by combining the teachings of either Mozgrin or Wang with either Kudryavtsev or Lantsman.<sup>3</sup>

But these four references disclose very different structures and processes.

Mozgrin teaches two different "[d]ischarge device configurations: (a) planar magnetron and (b) shaped-electrode configuration." Mozgrin further discloses a "square voltage pulse application to the gap." Wang discloses that



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petition at pp. 14-60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Mozgrin, Ex. 1403 at Fig. 1 caption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* at p. 402, col. 2, ¶ 2.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

