UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,051,181 Issued: November 1, 2011 Filed: February 27, 2007 Inventors: Victor Larson, *et al.*

Title: METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING SECURE COMMUNICATION LINK BETWEEN COMPUTERS OF VIRTUAL PRIVATE NETWORK

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2014-00486

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW					
	A.	Certification the '181 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner 1				
	B.	Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))				
	C.	Man	ndatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))	1		
		1.	Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))	1		
		2.	Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2))	2		
		3.	Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel	2		
		4.	Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4))	2		
	D.	Proc	of of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a))	3		
II.			CATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED B))	3		
III.		RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT				
	A.	Effective Filing Date and Prosecution History of the '181 patent 3				
	B.	Relationship to U.S. Patent Nos. 7,987,274 and 7,188,180 5				
	C.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art				
	D.	Construction of Terms Used in the Claims				
		1.	Secure Name	7		
		2.	Secure Domain Name	9		
		3.	Unsecured Name	10		
		4.	Secure Name Service	11		
		5.	Secure Communication Link	12		
IV.	PRE	PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED				
	A.	Claims 1-29 Are Anticipated by Beser		13		
		1.	Beser Anticipates Claims 1 and 29	14		
		2.	Beser Anticipates Claims 2 and 28	18		
		3.	Beser Anticipates Claims 24 and 26	22		
		4.	Beser Anticipates Claim 3	26		



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,051,181

	5.	Beser Anticipates Claim 4	. 27			
	6.	Beser Anticipates Claims 5-6	. 27			
	7.	Beser Anticipates Claim 7	. 28			
	8.	Beser Anticipates Claim 8	. 28			
	9.	Beser Anticipates Claim 9	. 29			
	10.	Beser Anticipates Claims 10-11	. 29			
	11.	Beser Anticipates Claim 12	. 30			
	12.	Beser Anticipates Claim 13	. 31			
	13.	Beser Anticipates Claims 14-17	. 31			
	14.	Beser Anticipates Claim 18	. 33			
	15.	Beser Anticipates Claims 19-20	. 33			
	16.	Beser Anticipates Claim 21	. 33			
	17.	Beser Anticipates Claim 22	. 34			
	18.	Beser Anticipates Claim 23	. 35			
	19.	Beser Anticipates Claim 25	. 35			
	20.	Beser Anticipates Claim 27	. 36			
B.	Besen	Beser In View of RFC 2401 Renders Obvious Claims 1-29 3				
	1.	Claims 1, 2, 24, 26, 28, and 29 Would Have Been Obvious	. 37			
	2.	Claims 3-23, 25, and 27 Would Have Been Obvious	. 41			
C.	Besen	r In View of Kiuchi Renders Obvious Claims 3-4 and 23	. 41			
D.	Besen	r In View of RFC 2543 Renders Obvious Claims 22, 24-27	. 42			
E.	Clain	Claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-19, and 21-29 Are Anticipated by Kiuchi				
	1.	Claims 1 and 29	. 44			
	2.	Claims 2 and 28	. 48			
	3.	Claims 24 and 26	. 51			
	4.	Claims 3-4 and 23	. 54			
	5.	Claims 5 and 6	. 54			
	6.	Claim 8	. 55			



Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,051,181

	7.	Claim 9	55
	8.	Claim 13	55
	9.	Claims 14-17	56
	10.	Claim 18	57
	11.	Claim 19	57
	12.	Claim 21	57
	13.	Claim 22	58
	14.	Claim 25	58
	15.	Claim 27	59
V	CONCLUS	SION	59

Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition

Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition



I. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

A. Certification the '181 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 8,051,181 (the '181 patent) (Ex. 1025) is available for *inter partes* review. Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '181 patent. The '181 patent also has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privy of Petitioner.

The '181 patent was asserted against Petitioner in proceedings alleging infringement as explained in § C.2, below. This petition is nonetheless proper as it is accompanied by a motion for joinder to (i) IPR2014-00483 and -00484 filed by Apple, and (ii) IPR2014-00403 and -00404 filed by Microsoft. The one-year period specified in § 315(b) does not apply to a petition that is accompanied by a request for joinder under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c). For the reasons in § III.B below and in the accompanying motion for joinder, proceedings based on the petitions should be joined to enable review of these admittedly patentably indistinct patent claims.

B. Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))

The Director is authorized to charge the fee specified by 37 CFR § 42.15(a) to Deposit Account No. 50-1597.

- C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))
 - **1.** Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

