

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 1661  
STD: 51  
Obsoletes: 1548  
Category: Standards Track

W. Simpson, Editor  
Daydreamer  
July 1994

## The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP)

### Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) provides a standard method for transporting multi-protocol datagrams over point-to-point links. PPP is comprised of three main components:

1. A method for encapsulating multi-protocol datagrams.
2. A Link Control Protocol (LCP) for establishing, configuring, and testing the data-link connection.
3. A family of Network Control Protocols (NCPs) for establishing and configuring different network-layer protocols.

This document defines the PPP organization and methodology, and the PPP encapsulation, together with an extensible option negotiation mechanism which is able to negotiate a rich assortment of configuration parameters and provides additional management functions. The PPP Link Control Protocol (LCP) is described in terms of this mechanism.

### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction .....	1
1.1	Specification of Requirements .....	2
1.2	Terminology .....	3
2.	PPP Encapsulation .....	4

3.	PPP Link Operation .....	6
3.1	Overview .....	6
3.2	Phase Diagram .....	6
3.3	Link Dead (physical-layer not ready) .....	7
3.4	Link Establishment Phase .....	7
3.5	Authentication Phase .....	8
3.6	Network-Layer Protocol Phase .....	8
3.7	Link Termination Phase .....	9
4.	The Option Negotiation Automaton .....	11
4.1	State Transition Table .....	12
4.2	States .....	14
4.3	Events .....	16
4.4	Actions .....	21
4.5	Loop Avoidance .....	23
4.6	Counters and Timers .....	24
5.	LCP Packet Formats .....	26
5.1	Configure-Request .....	28
5.2	Configure-Ack .....	29
5.3	Configure-Nak .....	30
5.4	Configure-Reject .....	31
5.5	Terminate-Request and Terminate-Ack .....	33
5.6	Code-Reject .....	34
5.7	Protocol-Reject .....	35
5.8	Echo-Request and Echo-Reply .....	36
5.9	Discard-Request .....	37
6.	LCP Configuration Options .....	39
6.1	Maximum-Receive-Unit (MRU) .....	41
6.2	Authentication-Protocol .....	42
6.3	Quality-Protocol .....	43
6.4	Magic-Number .....	45
6.5	Protocol-Field-Compression (PFC) .....	48
6.6	Address-and-Control-Field-Compression (ACFC)	
	SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS .....	51
	REFERENCES .....	51
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	51
	CHAIR'S ADDRESS .....	52
	EDITOR'S ADDRESS .....	52

## 1. Introduction

The Point-to-Point Protocol is designed for simple links which transport packets between two peers. These links provide full-duplex simultaneous bi-directional operation, and are assumed to deliver packets in order. It is intended that PPP provide a common solution for easy connection of a wide variety of hosts, bridges and routers [1].

### Encapsulation

The PPP encapsulation provides for multiplexing of different network-layer protocols simultaneously over the same link. The PPP encapsulation has been carefully designed to retain compatibility with most commonly used supporting hardware.

Only 8 additional octets are necessary to form the encapsulation when used within the default HDLC-like framing. In environments where bandwidth is at a premium, the encapsulation and framing may be shortened to 2 or 4 octets.

To support high speed implementations, the default encapsulation uses only simple fields, only one of which needs to be examined for demultiplexing. The default header and information fields fall on 32-bit boundaries, and the trailer may be padded to an arbitrary boundary.

### Link Control Protocol

In order to be sufficiently versatile to be portable to a wide variety of environments, PPP provides a Link Control Protocol (LCP). The LCP is used to automatically agree upon the encapsulation format options, handle varying limits on sizes of packets, detect a looped-back link and other common misconfiguration errors, and terminate the link. Other optional facilities provided are authentication of the identity of its peer on the link, and determination when a link is functioning properly and when it is failing.

### Network Control Protocols

Point-to-Point links tend to exacerbate many problems with the current family of network protocols. For instance, assignment and management of IP addresses, which is a problem even in LAN environments, is especially difficult over circuit-switched point-to-point links (such as dial-up modem servers). These problems are handled by a family of Network Control Protocols (NCPs), which each manage the specific needs required by their

respective network-layer protocols. These NCPs are defined in companion documents.

## Configuration

It is intended that PPP links be easy to configure. By design, the standard defaults handle all common configurations. The implementor can specify improvements to the default configuration, which are automatically communicated to the peer without operator intervention. Finally, the operator may explicitly configure options for the link which enable the link to operate in environments where it would otherwise be impossible.

This self-configuration is implemented through an extensible option negotiation mechanism, wherein each end of the link describes to the other its capabilities and requirements. Although the option negotiation mechanism described in this document is specified in terms of the Link Control Protocol (LCP), the same facilities are designed to be used by other control protocols, especially the family of NCPs.

### 1.1. Specification of Requirements

In this document, several words are used to signify the requirements of the specification. These words are often capitalized.

- MUST** This word, or the adjective "required", means that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.
- MUST NOT** This phrase means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.
- SHOULD** This word, or the adjective "recommended", means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
- MAY** This word, or the adjective "optional", means that this item is one of an allowed set of alternatives. An implementation which does not include this option **MUST** be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does include the option.

## 1.2. Terminology

This document frequently uses the following terms:

**datagram** The unit of transmission in the network layer (such as IP). A datagram may be encapsulated in one or more packets passed to the data link layer.

**frame** The unit of transmission at the data link layer. A frame may include a header and/or a trailer, along with some number of units of data.

**packet** The basic unit of encapsulation, which is passed across the interface between the network layer and the data link layer. A packet is usually mapped to a frame; the exceptions are when data link layer fragmentation is being performed, or when multiple packets are incorporated into a single frame.

**peer** The other end of the point-to-point link.

**silently discard** The implementation discards the packet without further processing. The implementation SHOULD provide the capability of logging the error, including the contents of the silently discarded packet, and SHOULD record the event in a statistics counter.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.