#### U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00274US2 Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: Richard Goldenberg, Reg. No. 38,895 Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 663-6000

Email: Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

IPR Trial No. <u>TBD</u>

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 3-7, 18-20, 31, 32, 36, 40, 41, 44 and 45 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. N	Mandatory Notices	- 1 -
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	- 1 -
B.	Related Matters	- 1 -
C.	Counsel	- 1 -
D.	Service Information	- 1 -
II. C	Certification of Grounds for Standing	- 2 -
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	- 2 -
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	- 2 -
B.	Grounds for Challenge	- 3 -
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	- 3 -
A.	Plasma	- 3 -
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms	- 5 -
V. (	Overview of the '421 Patent	- 6 -
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	- 6 -
B.	Prosecution History	- 6 -
VI.	Overview of the primary prior art references	- 7 -
A.	Summary of the prior art	- 7 -
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	- 7 -
C.	Overview of Wang	- 9 -
VII.	Claim construction	10 -
A.	"weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	11 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	13 -
A. Mo	Ground I: Claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination zgrin and Kawamata	
1	. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin	13 -
2	2. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin	21 -
3	Dependent claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 41	23 -
B.	Ground II: Claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the nbination of Wang and Kawamata	29 -



## U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang 29 -
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang 35 -
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang 36 -
4. Dependent claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Kawamata38 -
C. Ground III: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman ————————————————————————————————————
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and Lantsman 42 -
2. Dependent claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman ————————————————————————————————————
D. Ground IV: Claims 7, 18-20 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kawamata51 -
E. Ground V: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Lantsman54 -
F. Ground VI: Claims 7 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Wang,  Lantsman and Kawamata
IX. Conclusion 60



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



#### I. MANDATORY NOTICES

### A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation ("Petitioner") is the real party-in-interest.

#### **B.** Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("'421 Patent") (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '421 Patent.

#### C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Richard Goldenberg (Registration No. 38,895)

Backup Counsel: Yung-Hoon Ha (Registration No.56,368)

#### **D.** Service Information

E-mail: Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, LLP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

