U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00274US3 Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation

By: Richard Goldenberg, Reg. No. 38,895 Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 663-6000

Email: Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

IPR Trial No. TBD

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 9, 14, 21, 26, 35 AND 37 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Ma	ndatory Notices	- 1 -
A. 1	Real Party-in-Interest	- 1 -
B. 1	Related Matters	- 1 -
C. (Counsel	- 1 -
D. \$	Service Information	- 1 -
II. Cer	tification of Grounds for Standing	- 2 -
III. Ov	verview of Challenge and Relief Requested	- 2 -
A. 1	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	- 2 -
В. О	Grounds for Challenge	- 3 -
IV. Br	ief Description of Technology	- 4 -
A. 1	Plasma	- 4 -
В. 1	Ions and Excited Atoms	- 5 -
V. Ove	erview of the '421 Patent	- 6 -
A. S	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	- 6 -
В. 1	Prosecution History	- 7 -
VI. Ov	verview of the Primary Prior Art References	- 7 -
A. S	Summary of the Prior Art	- 7 -
В. О	Overview of Mozgrin	- 8 -
C. (Overview of Kudryavtsev	10 -
D. (Overview of Wang	11 -
VII.	Claim Construction	12 -
A. '	'weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	13 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	14 -
	Ground I: Claims 9 and 35 are obvious over the combination of Mozgriudryavtsev	
1.	Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin	15 -
2.	Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin	24 -
3.	Dependent claims 9 and 35	25 -



B. Ground II: Claims 14 and 37 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and the Mozgrin Thesis33 -		
C. Ground III: Claim 21 is obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kudryavtsev35 -		
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and Lantsman 36 -		
2. Dependent claim 21 is obvious in view of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kudryavtsev40 -		
D. Ground IV: Claim 26 is obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and the Mozgrin Thesis41 -		
E. Ground V: Claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev ————————————————————————————————————		
1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang 43 -		
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang 48 -		
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang 50 -		
4. Dependent claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious in view of Wang and Kudryavtsev52 -		
F. Ground VI: Claims 14, 26 and 37 are obvious over the combination of Wang and the Mozgrin Thesis		
V Conclusion 50		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation ("Petitioner") is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("'421 Patent") (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '421 Patent.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Richard Goldenberg (Registration No. 38,895)

Backup Counsel: Yung-Hoon Ha (Registration No. 56,368)

D. Service Information

E-mail: Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, LLP

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

