Paper 14 Entered: October 7, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FINISAR CORP., Petitioner,

v.

THOMAS SWAN & CO. LTD., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00460 (Patent 7,145,710 B2) Case IPR2014-00461 (Patent 7,664,395 B2) Case IPR2014-00462 (Patent 8,089,683 B2) Case IPR2014-00465 (Patent 8,335,033 B2)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MICHELLE R. OSINSKI, and BARBARA A. PARVIS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

¹ This decision addresses issues that are the same in each of the four cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one decision to be entered in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this heading style without authorization from the Board.

Case IPR2014-00460 (Patent 7,145,710 B2) Case IPR2014-00461 (Patent 7,664,395 B2) Case IPR2014-00462 (Patent 8,089,683 B2) Case IPR2014-00465 (Patent 8,335,033 B2)

ORDER Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On October 6, 2014, the initial conference call² was held between counsel for the respective parties and Judges Medley, Osinski, and Parvis.

Motions

Neither party seeks authorization to file a motion at this time. As explained, if Patent Owner determines that it will file a motion to amend, Patent Owner must arrange a conference call soon thereafter with the Board and opposing counsel to discuss the proposed motion to amend. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a).

The parties are reminded that if they seek authorization to file a motion not contemplated per the Scheduling Order, the party requesting such authorization must arrange a conference call with opposing counsel and the Board.

Settlement

The parties anticipate having a written settlement agreement finalized within two weeks. The parties shall notify the Board per email once they have a written settlement agreement.

² The initial conference call is held to discuss the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties anticipate filing during the trial. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Case IPR2014-00460 (Patent 7,145,710 B2) Case IPR2014-00461 (Patent 7,664,395 B2) Case IPR2014-00462 (Patent 8,089,683 B2) Case IPR2014-00465 (Patent 8,335,033 B2)

Order

It is

ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time.

PETITIONER:

David Radulescu Gregory Maskel RADULESCU LLP <u>david@radulescullp.com</u> <u>greg@radulescullp.com</u>

Kurt Rauschenbach RAUSCHENBACH PATENT LAW GROUP kurt@rauschenbach.com

PATENT OWNER:

Marc M. Wefers W. Karl Renner FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. wefers@fr.com IPR28733-002IP1@fr.com