IW 7416943

DOCKE

Δ

IN HOR ONLY WHICH SY WAY HES (DEAMORR (C

TO ALL, TO WHOM: THESE: PRESENTS: SHALL, COME: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

May 16, 2013

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THIS OFFICE OF THE FILE WRAPPER AND CONTENTS OF:

APPLICATION NUMBER: 11/514,725 FILING DATE: September 01, 2006 PATENT NUMBER: 7,664,395 ISSUE DATE: February 16, 2010

nillanmana

By Authority of the

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

R. PONDEXTER

Certifying Officer

					0			
PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL Offer new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))		First Named Inventor		Melanie Holmes			52 ¹	
		Express Mail Label No.		EV 214902601 US		US	<u>4</u> 0	
$\overset{\circ}{\sim}$ Title of \cdot	OPTICAL F	PROCESSING					12949 11/5	
רד	PLICATION ELEMI r 600 concerning utility patent		ADI	ORESS	STO: P.O.	nmissioner for Pate . Box 1450 xandria, VA 22313		
	nittal Form original and a duplicate for fea	e processing)	ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS					
2. [X] Specificati Both the c	on Total Pages laims and the abstract must	[100] start on a new page	7.		-	pers (cover sheet & nee Thomas Swan		
3. [X] Drawing(s	ion on the preferred arrangement, a) (35 U.S.C. 113) Total S :. of the Drawings for Public	heets [36]	City & 8.	& State: _	-	Durham, Unit	···	
	igure to be Published		0.		(when there is		Attorney	
4. [] Oath or De		• • •	9.		-	ation Document (if		
ь г л (Newly executed (original or Copy from a prior application	on (37 C.F.R. 1.63(d))	10.	[X]	[] Copies of	sclosure Statement f foreign patent docur		tions,
(for continuation/divisional with the second se	•	11.	۲1	and other Preliminary An	r information nendment		
•	Signed statement attached deleting		12.		Return Receipt			
	inventor(s) named see 37 C.F.R. 1.63	in the prior application, $(d)(2)$ and $1.33(b)$.	12. 13a.		_	y Claim under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119 d	or 365
5. [] CD-ROM Program (/	or CD-R in duplicate, large table or Computer			• •	-	of Priority Docum		
	eotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission pplicable, items ac. are required)					n Request under 35 U. ich form PTO/SB/35 or ea		6)(i).
a. [] (Computer Readable Form (CRF)	15.	[X]	Remarks			
i	i. [] Computer Readabl ii. [] Transfer Request (16. 17.		Small Entity St Other	tatement(s)		
b. []	Specification Sequence List	-			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
i	i. [] CD-ROM or CD-F ii. [] Paper [R (2 copies); or] Pages					······································	
c. [] :	Statements verifying identit	y of above copies						
	INUING APPLICATION, ation following the title:	check appropriate box, a	nd supp	ply the r	equisite inform	nation below and in	the first sente	ence of
[] Cont	inuation [X] Division	al [] Continuation	n-in-pa	urt (CIP)) of prior ap	plication No.:	10/487,81	10
Prior applic	ation information: Exam	niner: Loha Ben			Group Art	Unit: 2873		
hereby inc	disclosure of the prior app orporated by reference. ard Related Applications so						plication and	d is
	······	19. CORRESPOND				•		
NAME	Customer No. 0210	05				·····		
ADDRESS 530 Virginia Ro		DK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.				-,		
CITY	Concord	STATE MA			ZIP CODE	01742-9133		
		LEPHONE (978) 341-003		36	FAX	(978) 341-013	6	
Signature		ion16-		1	Date	9/1	1	
Signature	[10	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Melanie Holmes

Divisional Application of

Application No.:	10/487,810
371C File Date:	September 10, 2004

For: OPTICAL PROCESSING

Date: EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EV21490260/45

<u>REMARKS</u>

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The above-captioned application is a divisional of application number 10/487,810 to which priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. §120.

The specification of the present application is substantially the same as that of the parent application. The related applications paragraph has been revised to include a specific reference to the parent application.

Claims 19-21 and 23-27 as presented in the parent application have been renumbered sequentially beginning with Claim 1. A newly executed declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.63 is not believed to be necessary under 37 C.F.R. 1.63(d) or 1.67(b).

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

Βv Timoth J. Meagher

Registration No. 39,302 Telephone: (978) 341-0036 Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133 Dated: $\gamma / 1 / 6$

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

	/			
Date:	9/	[[[06	Express Mail Label No EV 214902601 US

- 1 -

Inventor:

Attorney's Docket No.:

Melanie Holmes 3274.1003-002

OPTICAL PROCESSING

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional of U.S. Appl. No. 10/487,810, which is the U.S. National Stage of International Appl. No. PCT/GB02/04011, filed September 2, 2002,

5 and published in English. This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 or 365 to Great Britain Appl. No. 0121308.1, filed September 3, 2001. The entire teachings of the above application(s) are incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates to an optical device and to a method of controlling

10 an optical device.

[0002] More particularly but not exclusively the invention relates to the general field of controlling one or more light beams by the use of electronically controlled devices. The field of application is mainly envisaged as being to fields in which reconfiguration between inputs and outputs is likely, and stability of performance is a significant

15 requirement.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] It has previously been proposed to use so-called spatial light modulators to control the routing of light beams within an optical system, for instance from selected ones of a number of input optical fibres to selected ones of output fibres.

20 [0004] Optical systems are subject to performance impairments resulting from

aberrations, phase distortions and component misalignment. An example is a multiway fibre connector, which although conceptually simple can often be a critical source of system failure or insertion loss due to the very tight alignment tolerances for optical fibres, especially for single-mode optical fibres. Every time a fibre connector is

- 5 connected, it may provide a different alignment error. Another example is an optical switch in which aberrations, phase distortions and component misalignments result in poor optical coupling efficiency into the intended output optical fibres. This in turn may lead to high insertion loss. The aberrated propagating waves may diffract into intensity fluctuations creating significant unwanted coupling of light into other output optical
- 10 fibres, leading to levels of crosstalk that impede operation. In some cases, particularly where long path lengths are involved, the component misalignment may occur due to ageing or temperature effects.

[0005] Some prior systems seek to meet such problems by use of expensive components. For example in a communications context, known free-space wavelength

multiplexers and demultiplexers use expensive thermally stable opto-mechanics to cope with the problems associated with long path lengths.
[0006] Certain optical systems have a requirement for reconfigurability. Such

reconfigurable systems include optical switches, add/drop multiplexers and other optical routing systems where the mapping of signals from input ports to output ports is

- 20 dynamic. In such systems the path-dependent losses, aberrations and phase distortions encountered by optical beams may vary from beam to beam according to the route taken by the beam through the system. Therefore the path-dependent loss, aberrations and phase distortions may vary for each input beam or as a function of the required output port.
- [0007] The prior art does not adequately address this situation.
 [0008] Other optical systems are static in terms of input/output configuration. In such systems, effects such as assembly errors, manufacturing tolerances in the optics and also changes in the system behaviour due to temperature and ageing, create the desirability for dynamic direction control, aberration correction, phase distortion compensation or
- 30 misalignment compensation.

ΟΟΚΕ΄

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.