

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FINISAR CORP.,  
Petitioner,

v.

THOMAS SWAN & CO. LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00460  
Patent 7,145,710 B2

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MICHELLE R. OSINSKI, and  
BARBARA A. PARVIS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

OSINSKI, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

## I. INTRODUCTION

### *A. Background*

Finisar Corp. (“Petitioner”) filed a corrected Petition (Paper 5, “Pet.”) requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,145,710 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’710 patent”). Thomas Swan & Co. Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 8, “Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314, which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted “unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

Upon consideration of the Petition and Preliminary Response, we determine that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail with respect to claims 1, 2, 4–9, and 11–14 of the ’710 patent, but not claims 3 and 10. Accordingly, we institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 2, 4–9, and 11–14 of the ’710 patent. We, however, do not institute an *inter partes* review of claims 3 and 10 of the ’710 patent.

### *B. Related Proceedings*

The parties represent that the ’710 patent is the subject of a district court proceeding in *Thomas Swan & Co. v. Finisar Corp.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-178 (E.D. Tex.). Pet. 4; Patent Owner’s Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8, Paper 7, 2.

Petitioner filed additional Petitions for *inter partes* review of three other patents related to the ’710 patent, namely U.S. Patent Nos. 7,664,395 B2; 8,089,683 B2; and 8,335,033 B2. Pet. 4–5; Prelim. Resp. 3; *see* IPR2014-00461 (Papers 1, 5); IPR2014-00462 (Papers 1, 5); IPR2014-00465 (Papers 1, 5).



The array of pixels of SLM 622 are arranged into multiple groups 623, 624, 625. *Id.* at 11:43-55, 43:55-44:6. Each of beams 615, 616, 617 is incident upon a respective group of pixels 623, 624, 625. *Id.* at 43:53-55. Each group 623, 624, 625 is capable of displaying a respective hologram. *Id.* at 11:43-55, 43:55-44:6. The hologram can provide a linear phase change to the incident beam, also known as a phase ramp, which can steer incident light beams in controllable directions. *Id.* at 13:32-45, 14:24-29. The hologram can also provide a non-linear phase change to the incident beam, which can attenuate or shape the beam. *Id.* at 14:30-58. Holograms can be identified by formulas, corresponding sets of values defining phase changes, or images displayed on SLM 622. *Id.* at 14:44-57, 7:19-24, 16:6-8). Holograms are generated from control data by processing circuitry, and the control data can be stored in look-up tables or compressed formats. *Id.* at 13:15-21. The processing circuitry can apply different selected voltages between respective groups of pixels 623, 624, 625 and a common electrode layer, thereby creating a local electric field passing through a localized portion of a liquid crystal layer and modifying the characteristics of the localized portion of the liquid crystal layer. *Id.* at 12:9-37.

The displayed holograms may perform differently based on (i) the delineation of groups of pixels displaying the holograms or (ii) the content of holograms displayed on those groups of pixels. *Id.* at 17:39-43. The size, shape, or position of the groups of pixels may be changed to improve performance of SLM 622 due to varying environmental conditions, such as varying temperature. *Id.* at 17:44-18:12. The content of the displayed holograms may be adjusted to compensate for environmental conditions by varying control data for the holograms. *Id.* at 18:13-28. Referring back to Figure 28, groups 623, 624, 625 display respective holograms which each provide a different deviation from the

specular direction to provide reflected beams 635, 636, 637. *Id.* at 43:55–58. Beams 635, 636, 637 are incident on lens 621 and routed back to grating 620. *Id.* at 43:58-60. Optical device 600 is thus able to steer and shape light beams incident on its surface using SLM 622. *Id.* at 43:55–44:6. Light beams that are incident on particular groups of pixels are controllable independently of each other. *Id.* at 2:56–67.

*D. Illustrative Claim*

Claim 1 is illustrative of the claimed subject matter and is reproduced below.

1. A method of operating an optical device comprising an SLM having a two-dimensional array of controllable phase-modulating elements, the method comprising
  - delineating groups of individual phase-modulating elements;
  - selecting, from stored control data, control data for each group of phase-modulating elements;
  - generating from the respective selected control data a respective hologram at each group of phase-modulating elements; and
  - varying the delineation of the groups and/or the selection of control datawhereby upon illumination of said groups by respective light beams, respective emergent light beams from the groups are controllable independently of each other.

*E. Prior Art Relied Upon in the Petition*

Stephen T. Warr, Free-Space Switching for Optical Fibre Networks (July 1996) (Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge) (on file with Cambridge University Library) (“Warr Thesis,” Ex. 1005).

Mathias Johansson et al., Computer-controlled, adaptive beam steering, implemented in a FLC-SLM free-space optical switch (June 18–22, 2000) (OSA

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.