Unit	ed States Patent	Γ AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/465,574	08/18/2006	Roman Chistyakov	ZON-016CN2	1473
23701 7590 12/08/2009 RAUSCHENBACH PATENT LAW GROUP, LLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 387			LE, TUNG X	
BEDFORD, MA 01730		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DOCKET

Α

LARM



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		11/465,574	CHISTYAKOV, ROMAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		TUNG X. LE	2821			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on res	ponse to election 7/30/200	<u>9</u> .			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 48-84 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-63 and 84-86</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>64-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\Box$ a		by the Examiner.			
) <u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · ·	-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)  Some * c)  None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in <i>i</i>	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachmen ₄∖⊠ Natio						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/2006: 2/10/2009: 4/24/2009: 10/0	6/2009. 6) Other:	·			
ΟС	KET					
	<b>RM</b> Find authenticated court do	cumonte without wateres	rks at dockotalarm com			
	Find authenticated court do	cuments without waterma	rks at <u>uucketalami.com</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 11/465,574 Art Unit: 2821

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Applicant's response to

election/restriction filed on July 30, 2009. In virtue of this election:

- Claims 1-47 are cancelled;
- Claims 48-63 and 84-86 are withdrawn; and thus,
- Claims 64-83 remain pending in the instant application.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 64-83 (Group II) in the reply filed

on July 30, 2009 is acknowledged.

DOCKE.

Non-elected claims 48-63 and 84-86 need to be cancelled during the next

communication in order to advance prosecution of the instant application.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 11/465,574 Art Unit: 2821

DOCKF

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 64-73 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 21-26 and 28-33 of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,179 B2 in view of Lee et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,476,693.

With respect to claims 64-73, the inventions of claims 21-26 and 28-33 of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,179 are including the inventions of claims 64-73 of the instant application, but they are not including the limitations of "a strong plasma occurs without forming an arc between the anode and the cathode assembly".

Lee discloses a method of generating a plasma, comprising that a formation of a strong plasma occurs without forming an arc between the anode and the cathode assembly (see figure 4 and column 8 in lines 41-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the plasma system of the inventions of claims 21-26 and 28-33 of the patent with a strong plasma occurring without an arc between the anode and the cathode as taught by Lee for the purpose of getting a high electron density during the generating plasma operation since this configuration for the stated purpose would have been deemed obvious as evidenced by the teaching of Lee (see column 8 in lines 41-55).

4. Claims 74-83 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 38-43 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,179 B2 in view of Lee et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,476,693.

Application/Control Number: 11/465,574 Art Unit: 2821

With respect to claims 74-83, the inventions of claims 38-43 and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,179 are including the inventions of claims 74-83 of the instant application, but they are not including the limitations of "a strong plasma occurs without forming an arc between the anode and the cathode assembly".

Lee discloses a method of generating a plasma, comprising that a formation of a strong plasma occurs without forming an arc between the anode and the cathode assembly (see figure 4 and column 8 in lines 41-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the plasma system of the inventions of claims 38-43 and 46 of the patent with a strong plasma occurring without an arc between the anode and the cathode as taught by Lee for the purpose of getting a high electron density during the generating plasma operation since this configuration for the stated purpose would have been deemed obvious as evidenced by the teaching of Lee (see column 8 in lines 41-55).

#### **Citation of Relevant Prior Art**

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art Goebel (U.S. Patent No. 5,828,176) discloses planar cross field plasma switch and method.

Prior art Goebel (U.S. Patent No. 5,537,005) discloses high current, low pressure plasma cathode electron gun.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.