

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

CASE IPR2014-00454
Patent 5,563,883

**PATENT OWNER C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107**

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. STANDARD FOR GRANTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW.....	4
III. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,563,883	6
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
V. THE PETITION FAILS TO ADEQUATELY IDENTIFY HOW ANY CLAIM OF THE '883 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	9
A. CLAIM 1	11
1. The MPT Specifications Fail to Disclose the Subject Matter of Claim 1	11
a. The MPT Specifications and the Petition's related assertions.....	13
b. Mischaracterizations of the MPT Specifications in the Petition.....	14
c. The MPT Specifications do not disclose the "monitoring" recited by claim 1(b)	17
d. The MPT Specifications do not disclose the "determining" recited by claim 1(c).....	22
e. The MPT Specifications do not disclose the "determining" recited by claim 1(d)	23
f. The MPT Specifications do not disclose the "reassigning" recited by claim 1(e).....	26
2. Cioffi Fails to Disclose The Subject Matter of Claim 1	29
a. Cioffi and the Petition's related assertions	30
b. Cioffi does not disclose "establishing communications" via "signalling data channels" as recited by claim 1(a)	32
c. Cioffi does not disclose "determining" as recited by claim 1(c)	34
3. Claim 1 Is Not Obvious In View of the Combination of the MPT Specifications and Cioffi.....	35
B. CLAIMS 2-5	38
C. CLAIM 14	38
1. Cioffi Fails to Disclose the Subject Matter of Claim 14.....	38

a. The structure of Cioffi.....	38
b. Cioffi fails to disclose a “system controlling means” as recited by claim 14(a).....	39
c. Cioffi fails to disclose a “switching means” as recited by claim 14(g)	40
d. Cioffi fails to disclose a “forward communication controlling means” as recited by claim 14(h)	44
2. Thompson Fails to Disclose the Subject Matter of Claim 14.....	46
a. The structure of Thompson	46
b. Thompson fails to disclose a “transmitting means” as recited by claim 14(b) 48	
c. Thompson fails to disclose a “receiving means” as recited by claim 14(c) .	50
d. Thompson fails to disclose a “switching means” as recited by claim 14(g).	52
e. Thompson fails to disclose a “forward communication controlling means” as recited by claim 14(h)	53
3. Claim 14 Is Not Obvious In View of the Combination of Cioffi and Thompson 55	
D. CLAIMS 15-18	57
VI. PETITION IS BARRED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315 (b).....	57
VII. CONCLUSION	60

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Comm., Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	passim
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00080, Paper 8 (PTAB April 25, 2014)	11
<i>Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00453, Paper 31 (PTAB. Jan. 22, 2014)	60
<i>August Technology Corp. v. Camtek Ltd.</i> , 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	4
<i>Blackberry Corp. v. Mobilemedia Ideas LLC</i> , IPR2013-00016, Paper 32 (Feb. 25, 2014).....	10
<i>Bomtech Electronics, Co. Ltd. v. Medium-Tech Medizingeräte GmbH</i> , IPR2014-00137 Paper 8 (PTAB April 22, 2014)	11
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00093, Paper 12 (PTAB April 28, 2014)	11
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 2013-1549 (Fed. Cir. May 27, 2014)	3, 35, 56
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	5
<i>Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GMBH v. Am. Hoist & Derrick Co.</i> , 730 F.2d 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	14, 50
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	15
<i>PCT Int’l, Inc. v. Amphenol Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00229, Paper 17 (Dec. 24, 2013)	10
<i>Printing Indus. of Am. v. CTP Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00489, Paper 15 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013)	15, 50
<i>Rohm and Haas Co. v. Brotech Corp.</i> , 127 F.3d 1089 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	2, 34, 36, 38

...

SAS Inst., Inc. v. Complementsoft, LLC,
IPR2013-00581, Paper 17 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2014)passim

Spears v. Holland,
Interf. No. 104,681, Paper 30 (BPAI Mar. 13, 2002)..... 5

Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.,
IPR2012-00041, Paper 21 (PTAB May 2, 2013) 15, 50

Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.,
593 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 14, 50

Vizio, Inc. v. International Trade Com'n,
605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 4

Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,
IPR2013-000054, Paper No. 16 (PTAB Jul. 13, 2013) 2, 34, 36, 38

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314..... 2, 4, 5

35 U.S.C. § 315..... 57, 59

35 U.S.C. § 316..... 4

Other Authorities

77 Fed. Reg. 157..... passim

Standing Order ¶ 121.5.2 (BPAI Mar. 8, 2011) 5

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100..... 2, 33, 36, 38

37 C.F.R. § 42.104..... passim

37 C.F.R. § 42.108..... 4, 5

37 C.F.R. § 42.65 passim

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.