

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Cisco Systems, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

C-Cation Technologies, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 5,563,883

Filing Date: July 18, 1994

Issue Date: October 8, 1996

Title: DYNAMIC CHANNEL MANAGEMENT AND SIGNALLING METHOD
AND APPARATUS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2014-00454

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibits	v
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	1
D. Service Information.....	2
E. Power of Attorney	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	2
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER <i>PARTES</i> REVIEW: 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	2
A. Grounds For Standing	2
B. Identification of Challenged Claims and Relief Requested	2
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘883 PATENT	4
A. Brief Description	4
B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘883 Patent	7
C. Prior Markman Order Regarding Certain Claims of ‘883 Patent	9
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	11
A. Legal Overview; Adoption of Prior Constructions	11
B. Construction of means-plus-function terms recited in claim 14	13

C.	Construction of “dynamic connection” as recited in claim 14	14
D.	Construction of “system controlling means” as recited in claim 19	14
E.	Construction of means-plus-function terms recited in claim 19	15
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	16
VII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘883 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	16
A.	Claim 1 is anticipated by each of MPT Specification and Cioffi, is obvious in view of each of MPT Specification and Cioffi, or is obvious in view of a combination of MPT Specification and Cioffi	17
i.	MPT Specification	18
ii.	Cioffi	19
iii.	Claim Chart for Claim 1	21
B.	Claim 2 is anticipated by MPT Spec., is obvious in view of MPT Spec., or is obvious in view of MPT Spec. and a combination of one or more of Cioffi, Thompson, and Tobagi.....	24
C.	Claim 3 is anticipated by MPT Spec., is obvious in view of MPT Spec., or is obvious in view of MPT Spec. and a combination of one or more of Thompson, McNamara, and Hester.....	27
D.	Claim 4 is anticipated by MPT Spec., is obvious in view of MPT Spec., or is obvious in view of MPT Spec. and a combination of one or more of Thompson and Sirazi	29

E.	Claim 5 is obvious in view of MPT Spec. and a combination of one or more of Thompson, Hester, and Goodings	31
F.	Claim 6 is anticipated by each of Hayes, Cioffi and MPT Spec., or is obvious in view of a combination of one or more of Hayes, MPT Spec., and Cioffi.....	32
	i. Hayes.....	33
	ii. MPT Spec.....	33
	iii. Cioffi	34
	iv. Claim Chart for Claim 6	34
G.	Claim 7 is anticipated by each of Hayes, MPT Spec., and Cioffi, or is obvious in view of a combination of one or more of Hayes, MPT Spec., and Cioffi.....	37
H.	Claim 8 is anticipated by Cioffi, is obvious in view of Cioffi, or is obvious in view of Cioffi and a combination of one or more of Hayes and MPT Spec.....	39
I.	Claim 9 is obvious in view of Cioffi & Akashi, or obvious in view of Cioffi & Akashi and comb. of Hayes and/or MPT Spec.....	41
J.	Claims 10 and 11 are anticipated by each of MPT Spec. and Cioffi, obvious in view of each of MPT Spec. and Cioffi, obvious in view of MPT Spec. and Hayes, obvious in view of Cioffi and Hayes, or obvious in view of MPT Spec., Cioffi, and Hayes	42
	i. Claim 10	42
	ii. Claim 11	43
K.	Claims 12 and 13 are anticipated by Hayes, are obvious in view of Hayes, or are obvious in view Hayes and a combination of one or more of MPT Spec. and Cioffi	45

i.	Claim 12	45
ii.	Claim 13	46
L.	Claims 14-20 are anticipated by each of Thompson and Cioffi, are obvious in view of each Thompson and Cioffi, or are obvious in view of Thompson and Cioffi	47
i.	Claims 14-18	49
ii.	Claims 19-20	50
iii.	Claim Charts for Claims 14-20	50
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.