Paper No. _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC. and MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC Petitioners

v.

ARENDI S.A.R.L. alleged Patent Owner

IPR2014-00452

Patent 6,323,853

PETITIONERS' REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST II				
I.		OHAND RENDERS THE GROUND 1 CLAIMS OBVIOUS EVEN R ARENDI'S NARROWED CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM 11		
	А.	Goodhand renders obvious the claimed "analyzing" step1		
	В.	The claims do not require distinguishing between contact information and other text		
	C.	Goodhand does not require "selection" of first information9		
	D.	Claim 1 does not contain a negative limitation that excludes the entry of characters into a "To:" field10		
	E.	Arendi's alleged disclaimer is not applicable12		
II.		RECORD INDICATES THE OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-79 14		

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Pat. No. 6,323,853 ("the '853 patent")
1002	Declaration of Dennis Allison
1003	U.S. Pat. No. 5,923,848 ("Goodhand")
1004	Padwick, et al., "Using Microsoft Outlook 97" (Microsoft Press)
	1996 (Chapters 1, 8-9, 12-13, 19-20, 22, 24 only)
1005	U.S. Pat. No. 6,026,410 ("Allen")
1006	U.S. Pat. No. 5,644,735 ("Luciw")
1007	Bonura and Miller, "Drop Zones An Extension to LiveDocs",
	SIGCHI Bulletin Volume 30, Number 2 April 1998.
1008	Curriculum Vitae of Dennis Allison
1009	U.S. Pat. No. 5,754,306 ("Taylor")
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,790,532 ("Sharma")
1011	U.S. Pat. No. 5,859,636 ("Pandit")
1012	Magnanelli, et al., "ACADEMIA: An Agent-Maintained
	Database based on Information Extraction from Web
	Documents", 14th European Meeting on Cybernetics and Systems
	Research on April 15, 1998.
1013	Structure of the LC Control Number,
	http://www.loc.gov/marc/lccn_structure.html
1014	Preliminary Infringement Analysis for Defendant Google, U.S.
	Pat. No. 7,496,854 (highlighting added)
1015	Declaration of Robert Kent in support of Motion Pro Hac Vice

ſ	1016	Deposition Transcript of Dr. John Levy, January 8, 2015 ("Levy
		Dep.")

Arendi makes several different arguments that center on the same basic critique of Goodhand: that users are "required" to type "contact information" into the "To:" line of an email form. (Patent Owner Response ("POR"), p. 22). Arendi calls this a "selection" of contact information, leading Arendi to the conclusion that Goodhand must not be performing the "analyzing" step of claim 1. (POR, p. 1). Arendi further argues that claim 1 excludes *any* user action prior to the execute command. (POR, p. 17).

Arendi's contentions are mistaken. The Goodhand prior art renders the claim language obvious—even under Arendi's proposed claim constructions. Those constructions, in turn, are based on a misapplication of law. Arendi offers no other basis for patentability.

I. GOODHAND RENDERS THE GROUND 1 CLAIMS OBVIOUS EVEN UNDER ARENDI'S NARROWED CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM 1

Even under Arendi's claim construction, Goodhand renders the claim language obvious. Goodhand obviously performs an analysis to determine if "first information" is present, and therefore does not require "selection". This was proven by Arendi's own expert.

A. Goodhand renders obvious the claimed "analyzing" step

Arendi's expert witness, Dr. Levy, reports that he conducted an experiment with Microsoft Outlook (Ex. 2008, ¶¶27-28). According to Dr. Levy, the functionality

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.