

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc. and Motorola Mobility LLC

Petitioner,

v.

Arendi S.A.R.L.

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2014-00452

Patent No. 6,323,853

STIPULATED REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER

On September 23, 2014, the Board issued a revised scheduling order that changed the date for oral argument (Due Date 7) from April 15, 2015 to April 21, 2015. See Paper No. 15. On December 4, 2014, Patent Owner and Petitioner stipulated to a revised scheduling order to change the date for the Petitioner's reply (Due Date 2) from January 20, 2015 to February 3, 2015 to accommodate the deposition schedule. See Paper No. 18. However, the stipulated scheduling order erroneously set forth the date for oral argument (Due Date 7) as April 15, 2015. The parties hereby stipulate to the revised scheduling order as shown in the attached, which corrects Due Date 7.

Dated: January 5, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert M. Asher, #30,445 /

Robert M. Asher

Registration No. 30,445

Sunstein Kann Murphy & Timbers LLP

125 Summer Street, 11th Floor

Boston, MA 02110-1618

(617) 443-9292

Attorney for Patent Owner, Arendi S.A.R.L.

Dated: January 5, 2015

/Matthew A. Smith/

Matthew A. Smith, Reg. No. 49,003

Turner Boyd LLP

702 Marshall Street, Suite 640

Redwood City, CA 94063

(650) 521-5930

Counsel for Petitioner, Google Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is certified that on January 5, 2015, copies of the forgoing Stipulated Revised Scheduling Order have been served on Petitioner as provided in 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) via electronic mail transmission addressed to the persons at the following addresses:

<p><u>LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER</u> <u>GOOGLE</u></p> <p>MATTHEW A. SMITH smith@turnerboyd.com Registration No. 49,003 TURNER BOYD LLP 702 Marshall Street, Suite 640 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 265-6109 Fax: (650) 521-5931</p>	<p><u>BACK-UP COUNSEL FOR</u> <u>PETITIONER GOOGLE</u></p> <p>ZHUANJIA GU gu@turnerboyd.com Registration No. 51,758 TURNER BOYD LLP 702 Marshall Street, Suite 640 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 265-6109 Fax: (650) 521-5931 and docketing@turnerboyd.com</p>
--	---

Date: January 5, 2015

/Robert M. Asher, #30,445 /
Robert M. Asher
Registration No. 30,445
Sunstein Kann Murphy & Timbers LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 443-9292
Fax: (617) 443-0004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC. and MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC¹,
Petitioners,

v.

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00450 (Patent 7,921,356 B2)
Case IPR2014-00452 (Patent 6,323,853 B1)²

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, NEIL T. POWELL, and
KEVIN W. CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SCHEDULING ORDER

A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE

¹ Google Inc. is the sole Petitioner in IPR2014-00450. Google Inc. and Motorola Mobility LLC are joint Petitioners in IPR2014-00452.

² This Scheduling Order provides identical scheduling for the two cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Scheduling Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2014-00450 (Patent 7,921,356 B2)
IPR2014-00452 (Patent 6,323,853 B1)

DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed.Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

1. INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL

The parties are directed to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) for guidance in preparing for the initial conference call, and should be prepared to discuss any proposed changes to this Scheduling Order and any motions the parties anticipate filing during the trial.

2. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.