

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00272 US5  
Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation  
By:  
Richard Goldenberg, Reg. No. 38,895  
David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel: (202) 663-6000  
Email: Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com  
David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

INTEL CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.  
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00447

**REVISED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,147,759  
CHALLENGING CLAIM 40  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Mandatory Notices .....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest .....	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Counsel.....	1
D.	Service Information.....	1
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing .....	2
III.	Overview of Challenged and Relief Requested .....	2
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	2
B.	Grounds for Challenge .....	3
IV.	Brief Description of Technology.....	3
A.	Plasma.....	3
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms .....	5
V.	Overview of the ‘759 Patent .....	6
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the ‘759 Patent .....	6
B.	Prosecution History .....	6
1.	The Patent Owner mischaracterized the prior art Mozgrin reference.....	7
2.	Adding the “without forming an arc” limitation resulted in allowance.....	7
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References .....	8
A.	Summary of the Prior Art.....	8
B.	Overview of Mozgrin .....	9
1.	Summary .....	9
2.	Mozgrin teaches avoiding arcs.....	11
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev .....	12
D.	Overview of Wang .....	13
VII.	Claim Construction.....	14
A.	“weakly-ionized plasma” and “strongly-ionized plasma” .....	15
B.	“multi-step ionization process” .....	16
C.	“means for ionizing a feed gas ...” .....	18
D.	“means for generating a magnetic field...” .....	19

E.	“means for applying a voltage pulse ...”	19
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	20
A.	Ground I: Claim 40 is obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	20
1.	The preamble	21
2.	Limitation (a): “means for ionizing...”	21
3.	Limitation (b): “means for generating a magnetic field...”	25
4.	Limitation (c): “means for applying a voltage pulse...”	28
B.	Ground II: Claim 40 is obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	38
1.	The preamble	39
2.	Limitation (a): “means for ionizing...”	39
3.	Limitation (b): “means for generating a magnetic field...”	43
4.	Limitation (c): “means for applying a voltage pule...”	45
IX.	Conclusion	54

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

*In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.*, 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).

## I. MANDATORY NOTICES

### A. Real Party-in-Interest

Intel Corporation (“Petitioner”) is the real party-in-interest.

### B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759 (“’759 Patent”) (Ex. 1401) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*) (Ex. 1419). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related<sup>1</sup> to the ’759 Patent.

### C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Richard Goldenberg (Registration No. 38,895)

Backup Counsel: David L. Cavanaugh (Registration No. 36,476)

### D. Service Information

E-mail: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com;

Richard.Goldenberg@wilmerhale.com

Post and hand delivery: Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr, LLP

---

<sup>1</sup> The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.