IPR2014-00417 U.S. Patent No. 7,579,802

Filed on behalf of UUSI, LLC

DOCKET

By: Monte L. Falcoff (<u>mlfalcoff@hdp.com</u>) Michael R. Nye (<u>mnye@hdp.com</u>) HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. 5445 Corporate Drive, Ste. 200 Troy, MI 48098 Telephone: (248) 641-1600 Facsimile: (248) 641-0270

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROSE NORTH AMERICA, INC. and BROSE FAHRZEUGTEILE GMBH & CO. KG, HALLSTADT Petitioner

v.

UUSI, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00417 Patent 7,579,802

PATENT OWNER'S CONTINGENT REQUEST FOR PARTICIPATION IN ORAL ARGUMENT

U.S. Patent No. 7,579,802

Pursuant to Rule 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order, Patent Owner UUSI does <u>not</u> request oral argument for the hearing currently scheduled for April 30, 2015 in IPR2014-00417 relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,579,802 (the "'802 Patent"). But if Petitioner requests oral argument then Patent Owner requests to participate and argue in the hearing. Patent Owner relies on its briefing and evidence in the record for any and all remaining issues not specifically identified for oral argument.

I. Issues to Be Argued

A. Excluding evidence as requested in Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude filed concurrently herewith.

B. Lack of anticipation of Claims 1, 7-9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Itoh, including the insufficiency and unreliability of Petitioner's evidence.

C. Lack of obviousness of Claims 1, 6-9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Itoh, including the insufficiency and unreliability of Petitioner's evidence.

D. Lack of anticipation of Claims 7, 9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Kinzl.

E. Lack of obviousness of Claims 7, 9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Kinzl.

F. Lack of obviousness of Claims 1, 6-9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Itoh and Kinzl.

H. Lack of obviousness of Claims 7-9 and 14 of the '802 Patent by Itoh, Kinzl and Zuckerman, including the insufficiency and unreliability of Petitioner's evidence.

I. Issues noted in Petitioner's request for oral arguments or otherwise raised by the Board.

II. Format of Oral Argument

If rebuttals are allowed then Patent Owner requests that it be allowed to reserve some of its allotted time for rebuttal argumentation.

III. Related Proceedings

The issues raised in this proceeding and in IPR2014-00416 present overlapping issues, and Patent Owner suggests that oral argument in these proceedings be handled together if Petitioner requests oral argument.

March 26, 2015 Bv:` -0 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. Monte L. Falcoff (Reg. No. 37,617) Michael R. Nye (Reg. No. 62,126) 5445 Corporate Drive, Ste. 200 Troy, MI 48098 (248) 641-1600 (248) 641-0270 Fax mlfalcoff@hdp.com mnye@hdp.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner

A copy of this Patent Owner's Contingent Request for Participation in Oral Argument has been served to counsel for the Petitioner at the following electronic mail addresses, pursuant to consent of Petitioner, on this 26th day of March, 2015.

Craig D. Leavell (Reg. No. 48505) <u>Craig.leavell@kirkland.com</u> Elizabeth A. Cutri <u>Elizabeth.cutri@kirkland.com</u> KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Fax: (312) 862-2200 Luke L. Dauchot, P.C. (*pro hac vice*) <u>Luke.dauchot@kirkland.com</u> KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 333 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 680-8400 Fax: (213) 680-8500

Attorneys of Record for Brose North America, Inc.

By:

<u>und</u>

HARNE8S, DICKÉY & PIERCE, P.L.C. Monte L. Falcoff (Reg. No. 37,617) Michael R. Nye (Reg. No. 62,126) 5445 Corporate Drive, Ste. 200 Troy, MI 48098 (248) 641-1600 (248) 641-0270 Fax <u>mlfalcoff@hdp.com</u> mnye@hdp.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner