UNIT	ED STATES PATENT	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,487	01/27/2004	Mario Boisvert	14-733C2D1	9537
28060 7590 05/30/2008 TAROLLI, SUNDHELM, COVELL & TUMMINO, LLP 1300 EAST NINTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			FLETCHER, MARLON T	
SUITE 1700 CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,487	BOISVERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marlon T. Fletcher	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI (2 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	99 - 2011-m-2-6997 (Gwind Swift)
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{10}$	<u>) January 2008</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)∏ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) <u>1-32,36 and 37</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>33-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur	(S) (S)		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	221072		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Okuyama et al. (4,608,637).

DOCKE

Okuyama et al. disclose an apparatus for controlling motion of.a motor driven element over a range of motion and for altering said motion when undesirable resistance to the motion is encountered, said apparatus comprising: a sensor (6a, 6ab, 6d, 6da) for measuring a parameter of a motor coupled to the motor driven element that varies in response to a resistance to motion during all or part of a range of motion of the motor driven element (column 7, lines 49-62); a memory for storing a number of measurement values from the sensor based on measurements of said parameter over at least a portion of the range of motion (column 8, line 51-64); a controller (microcomputer 9) coupled to the memory for determining to de-activate the motor based on the measurement values stored in the memory as the motor driven element moves over its range of motion; and a controller interface coupled to the motor (Ma, Md, Mab, Mda) for altering motion of said motor driven element in response to a determination made by the controller (column 5, lines 9-60), wherein altering is also in response to a determination that the parameter is outside the parameter range.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,487 Art Unit: 2837

OCKE.

As recited in claim 33, Okuyama et al. disclose an apparatus for controlling activation of a motor coupled to a motor vehicle window or panel for moving said. window or panel along a travel path and deactivating the motor if an obstacle is encountered by the window or panel, said apparatus comprising: a sensor for sensing movement of the window or panel and providing a sensor output signal related to a speed of movement of the window or panel (discussed above; column 7, lines 30-37), and a controller having an interface coupled to the sensor and the switch for controllably energizing the motor (figure 4a); said controller sensing a collision with an obstruction when power is applied to the controller by: monitoring movement of the window or panel by monitoring a signal from the sensor related to the movement of the window or panel (column 7, lines 6-23; and column 8, lines 35-50), identifying a collision of the window or panel with an obstacle due to a change in the signal from the sensor that is related to a change in movement of the window or panel (Abstract; and column 8, lines 51-64); and outputting a control signal to said switch to deactivate said motor in response to a sensing of a collision between an obstacle and said window or panel (column 8, line 65 - column 9, line 7).

With respect to claims 34, Okuyama et al. a Hall-effect sensor (6a-) and a magnetic pick-up (7a-).

As recited in claim 35, Okuyama et al. disclose the apparatus, wherein the controller comprises a programmable controller including a processing unit for executing a control program and including a memory for storing multiple window or panel speed values corresponding to a signal received from the sensor (column 6, lines 52-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,487 Art Unit: 2837

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-32 and 36-37 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are mostly persuasive. However, with regards to claims 33-35, the examiner believes that there is no distinction over the prior art. Therefore the rejection to those claims remain.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.