



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 12/360,942      | 01/28/2009  | Mario Boisvert       | 14 733C2D1 US CON1  | 7355             |

26294 7590 04/29/2011  
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.  
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

FLETCHER, MARLON T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2832

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

04/29/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                       |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>12/360,942  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BOISVERT ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Marlon T. Fletcher | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2832                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marlon T. Fletcher. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Schultz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2011.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 29 and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takeda et al. and Washelski et al (5,334,876).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided parent patent '876 which predates the Takeda et al. reference. It was agreed that the '876 reference provided support for the present claims and a claim chart will be provided in the response to verify the support. Support for the dependent claims will be discussed in the applicant's response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet

/Marlon T Fletcher/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832