

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: January 15, 2015

Filed on behalf of Microsoft Corporation and VirnetX, Inc.  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION and APPLE INC.  
Petitioners

v.

VIRNETX, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00403  
U.S. Patent No. 7,987,274<sup>1</sup>

---

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING WITH  
RESPECT TO MICROSOFT CORPORATION**

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2014-00483 has been joined with this case.

## **Precise Relief Requested**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), Petitioner Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner VirnetX, Inc. (“Patent Owner” or “VirnetX”) jointly request that this *inter partes* review proceeding (“this Review”) involving U.S. Patent No. 7,987,274 (“the ’274 patent”) be terminated with respect to Microsoft based on a settlement between Petitioner Microsoft and Patent Owner (“the Parties”). Microsoft and VirnetX conferred with Petitioner Apple Inc. and Apple does not oppose termination with respect to Microsoft.

## **II. Reasons for Granting the Motion**

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement. *See, e.g.*, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The Board authorized the filing of the instant motion in email dated January 13, 2015. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 provides guidance as to the content of a motion to terminate. There, the Board indicates that a joint motion, such as this one, should (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding. *Id.* at 2. This motion satisfies each of the above requirements and is

accompanied by a copy of the settlement agreement between Microsoft and VirnetX, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

**(1) Brief Explanation of Why Termination is Appropriate**

With respect to Microsoft, termination is appropriate because a final written decision has not been reached in this Review. Indeed, Petitioner filed its petition for *inter partes* review on February 4, 2014. The Board instituted this proceeding on July 31, 2014. Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner's Response on November 3, 2014, but Petitioner has not filed its Reply, which is not due until February 2, 2015.

Termination of this proceeding with respect to Microsoft is appropriate because, if this Motion is granted, Microsoft will not be participating as a party in this proceeding going forward, and the Board has not decided the merits of the proceeding. The Parties have settled their dispute and executed a settlement agreement to terminate this proceeding as to Microsoft, as well as the Parties' related district court litigation regarding the '274 patent: *VirnetX, Inc. and Science Applications International Corporation v. Microsoft Corporation*, Case No. 6:13-cv-00351 (E.D. Tex.). The Parties expect that this district court litigation will be dismissed per the parties' settlement agreement. For all these reasons, the Parties respectfully request termination of this proceeding with respect to Microsoft.

**(2) All parties in any pending related litigation involving the patents at issue**

Patent Owner is not involved in any other pending related litigations involving the '274 patent.

**(3) Related proceedings currently before the Office**

Aside from this *inter partes* review proceeding, the '274 patent is also the subject of the following proceeding(s) currently before the Office:

<b>Related Proceeding(s)</b>	<b>Requester/Petitioner</b>	<b>Status</b>
IPR2014-00404	Microsoft Corporation	A joint motion to terminate this proceeding with respect to Microsoft has been filed.
IPR2014-00483	Apple Inc.	Joined with IPR2014-00403. Petitioner's Reply is due February 2, 2015.
IPR2014-00484	Apple Inc.	Joined with IPR2014-00404. Petitioner's Reply is due February 2, 2015.

**(4) Current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding**

Above, a status field indicates the status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding.

### III. Settlement Agreement

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Parties' settlement agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 2044.<sup>2</sup> The Parties are also filing concurrently herewith a joint request under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of the involved patent.

Respectfully submitted,

/W. Karl Renner/  
W. Karl Renner  
Registration No. 41,265  
3200 RBC Plaza  
60 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

*Counsel for Petitioner  
Microsoft Corporation*

/Joseph E. Palys/  
Joseph E. Palys  
Registration No. 46,508  
Paul Hastings LLP  
875 15th Street NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 551-1996  
Facsimile: (202) 551-0496

*Counsel for Patent Owner  
VirnetX, Inc.*

Dated: January 15, 2015

---

<sup>2</sup> The settlement agreement is being filed electronically via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) with access to the "Parties and Board Only."

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.