

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2014-00397 (Patent 6,833,686 B2)
IPR2014-00400 (Patent 6,034,623)

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, SALLY C. MEDLEY, and
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2014-00397 (Patent 6,833,686 B2)
IPR2014-00400 (Patent 6,034,623)

On July 16, 2014, the initial conference call¹ was held between counsel for the respective parties and Judges Blankenship, Medley, and Boucher.

Motions

Neither party seeks authorization to file a motion at this time. As explained, if Patent Owner determines that it will file a motion to amend, Patent Owner must arrange a conference call soon thereafter with the Board and opposing counsel to discuss the proposed motion to amend. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a).

The parties were reminded that if they seek authorization to file a motion not contemplated per the Scheduling Order, the party requesting such authorization must arrange a conference call with opposing counsel and the Board.

Schedule

Counsel for the respective parties indicated that they have no issues with the Scheduling Order entered July 3, 2014.

To the extent issues arise with DATES 1-5 identified in the Scheduling Order, the parties are reminded that, without obtaining prior authorization from the Board, they may stipulate to different dates for DATES 1-5, as provided in the Scheduling Order, by filing an appropriate notice with the Board. The parties may not stipulate to any other changes to the Scheduling Order.

¹ The initial conference call is held to discuss the Scheduling Order and any motions that the parties anticipate filing during the trial. Office Patent Trial

IPR2014-00397 (Patent 6,833,686 B2)
IPR2014-00400 (Patent 6,034,623)

Settlement

The parties have nothing to report with respect to settlement.

Order

It is

ORDERED that no motions are authorized at this time.

PETITIONER:

James Hannah
Aaron Frankel
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
afrankel@kramerlevin.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert Mattson
John Kern
Christopher Bullard
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP
cpdocketmattson@oblon.com
cpdocketkern@oblon.com
cpdocketbullard@oblon.com

Practice Guide, 77 *Fed. Reg.* 48,756, 48,765 (Aug. 14, 2012).