

Patent No. 6,034,623
Petition For *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-_____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 6,034,623 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42**

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Designation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	1
D. Service Information.....	2
II. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	2
I. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104	2
A. Grounds For Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	2
B. Identification of Challenged Claims (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(1)).....	2
C. The Prior Art and Specific Grounds on Which the Challenge to the Claims is Based (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(2)).....	3
D. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....	4
III. SUMMARY OF THE ‘623 PATENT	7
A. Brief Description	7
B. Summary of the Prosecution History	8
IV. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘623 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	11
A. The Use of a Reprogrammable Radio Telemetry System Was Well Known in the Art.....	11
B. The Use of Passwords to Reprogram a Microcontroller Was Well Known in the Prior Art.....	14
C. The Use of Multiple State Machines Was Well Known in the Prior Art	16
D. The Use of Dual-Mode Modem Was Well Known in the Prior Art...	19
V. CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	21
A. Ground 1: Claim 1 is Obvious Over Sain-ton in View of Ehlers and in Further View of Grider.....	21
Ground 2: Claim 1 is Obvious Over Sain-ton in View of Ehlers and in Further View of Simionescu	21

	Ground 3: Claim 1 is Obvious Over Argyroudis in View of Reagle and in Further View of Grider.....	21
B.	Ground 4: Claim 2 is Obvious Over Sainton in View of Ehlers and in Further View of Funabashi.....	33
	Ground 5: Claim 2 is Obvious Over Argyroudis in View of Reagle and in Further View of Funabashi.....	33
C.	Ground 6: Claim 3 is Obvious Over Sainton in View of Ehlers and Further in View of McLaughlin	39
	Ground 7: Claim 3 is Obvious Over Sainton in View of Ehlers and Further in View of Simionescu	39
	Ground 8: Claim 3 is Obvious Over Sainton in View of Ehlers and Further in View of Grider.....	39
D.	Ground 9: Claim 3 is Anticipated by Simionescu.....	49
	Ground 10: Claim 3 is Obvious in View of Simionescu.....	49
E.	Ground 11: Claim 4 is Obvious Over Sainton in View of Ehlers and Further in View of Reagle.....	52
	Ground 12: Claim 4 is Obvious Over Argyroudis in View of Reagle	52
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	59

Cypress Semiconductor Corp. (“Petitioner”) petitions for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1-4 of U.S. 6,034,623 (CSC 1001, “‘623 Patent”).

I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))

A. REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST

Petitioner certifies that it is the real party-in-interest.

B. RELATED MATTERS

Petitioner is not aware of any pending prosecution concerning the ‘623 Patent. Petitioner is a defendant in a pending litigation concerning the ‘623 Patent. *BlackBerry Limited v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp.*, 3:13-cv-04431 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 4, 2013). Petitioner is not aware of any other past or present litigation concerning the ‘623 Patent.

C. DESIGNATION OF LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL

Lead Counsel	Back-Up Counsel
James Hannah (Reg. No. 56,369) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 990 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Tel: 650.752.1700 Fax: 212.715.8000	Paul Andre <i>Pro hac vice appearance to be requested upon grant of petition</i> Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 990 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025 Tel: 650.752.1700 Fax: 212.715.8000 Aaron M. Frankel (Reg. No. 52,913) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 Tel: 212.715.9100 Fax: 212.715.8000

D. SERVICE INFORMATION

Please address all correspondence to the lead counsel at the address provided in Section I(C) of this Petition. Petitioner also consents to service by email to: jhannah@kramerlevin.com with copies to pandre@kramerlevin.com and afrankel@kramerlevin.com.

II. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)

Petitioner authorizes the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0540 for the fee set in 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) for this petition for *inter partes* review, and further authorizes payment for any additional fees to be charged to this Deposit Account.

I. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104

A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A))

Petitioner certifies that the '623 Patent is eligible for *inter partes* review and further certifies that it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review challenging the identified claims on the grounds set forth herein. The original complaint against Petitioner was filed on November 4, 2013. Therefore, the present petition is being filed within one year of the filing of the original complaint and of the first assertion of the '623 Patent against Petitioner.

B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(1))

Petitioner requests *inter partes* review of claims 1-4 of the '623 Patent on

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.